



Universidade Federal de Sergipe
Centro de Ciências Exatas e Tecnologia
Programa de Pós-Graduação em Matemática
Mestrado em Matemática

Critérios de Regularidade para Soluções Fracas das Equações Magneto-micropolares

Suelen Cristina Pereira de Souza

SÃO CRISTÓVÃO – SE
FEVEREIRO DE 2016

Universidade Federal de Sergipe
Centro de Ciências Exatas e Tecnologia
Programa de Pós-Graduação em Matemática
Mestrado em Matemática

Critérios de Regularidade para Soluções Fracas das Equações Magneto-micropolares

por

Suelen Cristina Pereira de Souza

sob a orientação do

Prof. Dr. Wilberclay Gonçalves Melo

São Cristóvão – SE
Fevereiro de 2016

**FICHA CATALOGRÁFICA ELABORADA PELA BIBLIOTECA CENTRAL
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SERGIPE**

Souza, Suelen Cristina Pereira de
S729p Critério de regularidade para soluções fracas das equações
magneto-micropolares / Suelen Cristina Pereira de Souza ; orientador
Wilberclay Gonçalves Melo. – São Cristóvão, 2016.
103 f.

Dissertação (Mestrado em Matemática) - Universidade Federal de
Sergipe, 2016.

1. Navier-Stokes, equações de. 2. Equações - Soluções numéricas
3. Magnetoidrodinâmica. I. Melo, Wilberclay Gonçalves, orient. II.
Título.

CDU 517.912:537.84



UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SERGIPE
PRÓ-REITORIA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO E PESQUISA
PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM MATEMÁTICA

Dissertação submetida à aprovação pelo Programa de Pós-Graduação em Matemática da Universidade Federal de Sergipe, como parte dos requisitos para obtenção do grau de Mestre em Matemática.

**Critérios de Regularidade para Soluções Fracas das Equações
Magneto-micropolares**

por

Suelen Cristina Pereira de Souza

Aprovada pela banca examinadora:

Wilberclay Gonçalves Melo
Prof. Dr. Wilberclay Gonçalves Melo - UFS
Orientador

Paulo R. A. Zingano
Prof. Dr. Paulo Ricardo de Ávila Zingano - UFRGS
Primeiro Examinador

Manassés Xavier de Souza
Prof. Dr. Manassés Xavier de Souza - UFPB
Segundo Examinador

São Cristóvão, 19 de fevereiro de 2016

*A minha mãe, exemplo de força,
fé e determinação. Que sempre
me apoiou e incentivou aos estu-
dos, e nunca mediou esforços para
me ver feliz.*

Agradecimentos

A Deus, primeiramente, pelo dom da vida, por todas bênçãos derramadas, e por toda força dada para, aos poucos, alcançar meus objetivos, pois sei que tudo que tenho e sou vem Dele. A minha mãe, Raimunda, por sempre me incentivar aos estudos, por se fazer presente em todos os momentos de minha vida, e torcer continuamente pela minha felicidade. Aos meus irmãos, Bruno e Mariana, por me aturarem, me ouvirem e até mesmo me fazerem rir, aliviando desta forma meu estresse da vida acadêmica. Aos meus familiares, por torcerem e se preocuparem comigo, e por ser uma família grande preferi não citar, para não ser injusta com alguém que provavelmente esquecerei. Ao meu orientador e amigo, Wilberclay, pela paciência, compreensão e amizade. Maior exemplo de caráter, honestidade, simplicidade e inteligência. A todos os professores com os quais estudei, em especial ao Professor Paulo Rabelo e a Professora Ivanete Batista, por todos os momentos partilhados, e toda ajuda oferecida, desde a graduação. Sou eternamente grata. Aos meus irmãos de caminhada, Taynara, Alan e Natã, por todas as experiências partilhadas nas madrugadas de estudo, nas lamentações das provas e, também, nas palhaçadas que convivemos. Essa vida é árdua e só assim, ajudando uns aos outros, a tornamos mais suave. Foram e são pessoas importantes na minha vida, as quais levarei sempre comigo. Aos outros amigos de caminhada, Izabela, Diego, Laranja (Jonisson) e Thiago, por risos, lamentações e conhecimentos, também partilhados. A todos os meus amigos, que torcem e incentivam a minha caminhada, especialmente a Marielle, Micael e Alana, que mesmo longe, sempre se fazem presentes. Amo-os para todo sempre. Aos professores Paulo Zingano e Manasses Xavier, por aceitarem o convite de compor a banca examinadora. A Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa e à Inovação Tecnológica do Estado de Sergipe (FAPITEC/SE) e a Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), pelo apoio financeiro em quase todo o mestrado. A todos que de forma direta e indiretamente me ajudaram, e que por culpa da minha falha memória, não os tenham explicitado, o meu muito obrigada.

Resumo

Neste trabalho, discutimos alguns critérios de regularidade para uma solução fraca do sistema de equações tridimensionais de fluido Magneto-micropolar. Além disso, mostramos que é possível estender, para este mesmo sistema, alguns resultados recentes obtidos para as equações de Navier-Stokes. Em ordem a citar um exemplo, provamos que uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(t)$ definida em $[0, T]$ é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ se esta satisfaz a condição $\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Palavras-chave: Regularidade de Solução; Equações Magneto-micropolares.

Abstract

This work, we discuss some criteria of regularity for a weak solution of the Magneto-micropolar equations. Furthermore, we show that it is possible to extend some recent results from the Navier-Stokes equations to the Magneto-micropolar equations. In order to give an example, we prove that a weak solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(t)$, defined in $[0, T]$, is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$, if it satisfies the condition $\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Keywords: Regularity of Solutions; Magneto-micropolar Equations.

Sumário

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Introdução | 1 |
| 1 Notações, Definições e Resultados Preliminares | 7 |
| 1.1 Notações e Definições | 7 |
| 1.2 Resultados Preliminares | 9 |
| 2 Critérios de Regularidade Envolvendo Somente o Campo Velocidade | 11 |
| 2.1 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo Somente $\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ | 11 |
| 2.2 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo Somente $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ | 39 |
| 2.3 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo Somente $\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ | 47 |
| 3 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo uma Entrada do Campo Velocidade | 51 |
| 3.1 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo $(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ | 51 |
| 3.2 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo $(\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ | 74 |
| 3.3 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo $(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ | 82 |
| Referências Bibliográficas | 88 |

Introdução

Neste trabalho, apresentamos alguns critérios de regularidade para uma solução fraca do seguinte sistema de equações *magneto-micropolar* que descreve um fluido incompressível:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) = (\mu + \chi)\Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} + \chi \nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \\ \mathbf{w}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w} = \gamma \Delta \mathbf{w} + \kappa \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) + \chi \nabla \times \mathbf{u} - 2\chi \mathbf{w}, \\ \mathbf{b}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{w}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{w}_0, \quad \mathbf{b}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{b}_0, \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

onde $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (u_1(\mathbf{x}, t), u_2(\mathbf{x}, t), u_3(\mathbf{x}, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denota o campo velocidade incompressível, $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (w_1(\mathbf{x}, t), w_2(\mathbf{x}, t), w_3(\mathbf{x}, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ descreve a velocidade micro-rotacional, $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (b_1(\mathbf{x}, t), b_2(\mathbf{x}, t), b_3(\mathbf{x}, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ o campo magnético e $p(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ a pressão. As constantes positivas μ, χ, ν, κ , e γ estão associadas a propriedades específicas do fluido; mais precisamente, μ é a viscosidade cinemática, χ é a viscosidade do vórtice, κ e γ são as viscosidades de rotação e, por último, ν^{-1} é o número magnético de Reynolds. Os dados iniciais para os campos velocidade e magnético, dados por \mathbf{u}_0 e \mathbf{b}_0 em (1), são assumidos livres de divergente, i.e., $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$.

Duas questões relevantes com respeito ao sistema magneto-micropolar (1) podem ser consideradas aqui: a primeira diz respeito à unicidade de soluções fracas e a outra é inerente à regularidade destas mesmas soluções. Com isso em mente, nosso interesse, nesta dissertação, reside em estudar quais hipóteses podemos assumir sobre uma solução fraca de (1) em ordem a obtermos suavidade para esta. Ao leitor mais curioso em compreender a teoria sobre a existência de tais soluções, recomendamos a leitura dos artigos [47, 54] e referências inclusas.

É também importante salientar que o mesmo problema do milênio envolvendo a finitude do tempo máximo de existência para a solução forte do sistema de Navier-Stokes (3) abaixo está

também em aberto para o sistema magneto-micropolar (1). Para mais detalhes, envolvendo a solução forte de (1), recomendamos a leitura de [46, 53] e referências inclusas.

Permita-nos informar que os resultados principais discutidos no Capítulos 2 desta dissertação relatam, minuciosamente, as informações obtidas em [1, 61]. Em adição, destacamos que [61] estende o artigo [10], o qual considera regularidade de soluções fracas para o sistema de equações da *magnetohidrodinâmica* (MHD)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbf{b}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} = \nu \Delta \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot), \quad \mathbf{b}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{b}_0(\cdot). \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

É fácil notar que o sistema acima é derivativo de (1) quando consideramos $\mathbf{w} = 0$ e $\chi = 0$. Outros trabalhos relacionados às equações MHD (2) podem ser encontrados em [4, 5, 10, 12, 14, 21, 24, 27, 28, 29, 32, 37, 40, 41, 55, 63, 64, 65, 71, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87] e referências inclusas.

O artigo [1] também exibe critérios para regularidade de soluções do sistema (1), os quais são inspirados em resultados obtidos para as clássicas equações de *Navier-Stokes*, i.e.,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\cdot), \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

estas, por sua vez, seguem diretamente de (2) se considerarmos \mathbf{b} como sendo o campo magnético nulo. É importante referir aqui alguns trabalhos que estão relacionados, ou serviram de inspiração, a essa dissertação; sendo assim, veja [2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 25, 26, 30, 31, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 42, 43, 45, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 68, 69, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 88, 89] e referências inclusas.

Resumidamente, gostaríamos de ressaltar que o Capítulo 3 deste trabalho apresenta extensões dos principais resultados obtidos em [68, 73, 74].

A partir de agora, vamos discorrer sobre todo o nosso trabalho com mais detalhes. Assim sendo, estamos interessados em apresentar critérios de regularidade para uma solução fraca do sistema magneto-micropolar (1), quando adotamos condições envolvendo uma componente do campo velocidade ou do seu gradiente. Citamos aqui alguns artigos que estão diretamente relacionados a

esta dissertação: [1, 61, 62, 66, 67, 77] e referências inclusas.

No Capítulo 2, estudamos, com detalhes, o artigo [61]. Neste trabalho, Y. Wang mostra que é possível estender suavemente, além de $t = T$, uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ de (1), definida no intervalo de tempo $[0, T]$, quando lhe é imposta a seguinte condição sobre uma componente do gradiente do campo velocidade $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$:

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1, \quad p \geq 3.$$

Vejamos, com mais detalhes, o teorema que garante a afirmação dada acima.

Teorema 0.1 (ver [61]). *Seja $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ tal que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ é uma solução fraca para o sistema magneto-micropolar (1) no intervalo $[0, T]$. Se*

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1, \quad p \geq 3,$$

então a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ pode ser estendida suavemente além de $t = T$.

Ainda no Capítulo 2, exibimos minuciosamente dois dos resultados obtidos por Y. Baoquan [1]. Tais resultados, também tratam da regularidade de uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ das equações magneto-micropolares (1). No primeiro deles, Y. Baoquan provou que tal solução, definida em $[0, T]$, pode ser suavemente estendida além de $t = T$, quando adotamos a seguinte condição envolvendo somente o campo velocidade $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$:

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1, \quad 3 < p \leq \infty.$$

Mais precisamente, vejamos como a afirmação acima pode ser enunciada.

Teorema 0.2 (ver [1]). *Seja $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ tal que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in C[0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)] \cap C(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ é uma solução suave para o sistema (1). Se $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ satisfaz*

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1, \quad 3 < p \leq \infty,$$

então a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ pode ser suavemente estendida além de $t = T$.

Ainda por [1], estabelecendo determinada exigência sobre o gradiente do campo velocidade, $\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$, vimos detalhadamente como estender suavemente além de $t = T$, uma solução fraca

$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$, definida em $[0, T]$, de (1). Segue a exigência necessária para a obtenção do resultado.

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 2, \quad \frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty.$$

Vejamos, especificamente, como se dá o enunciado de tal afirmação.

Teorema 0.3 (ver [1]). *Seja $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ tal que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in C[0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)] \cap C(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ é uma solução suave para o sistema (1). Se $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ satisfaz*

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 2, \quad \frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty.$$

então a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ pode ser suavemente estendida além de $t = T$.

Os Teoremas 0.1, 0.2 e 0.3 serão estudados com detalhes nas Seções 2.1, 2.2 e 2.3 desta dissertação.

No Capítulo 3, apresentamos extensões, no contexto das equações magneto-micropolares (1), dos resultados obtidos em [68, 73, 74]. Ou seja, conseguimos provar que uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ de (1) é suave se adotarmos a seguinte hipótese:

$$(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b}) \in L^{\frac{32}{7}}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Mais precisamente, demonstramos o seguinte teorema:

Teorema 0.4. *Sejam $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ com $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $T > 0$. Considere que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ é uma solução fraca das equações magneto-micropolares (1) em $[0, T]$ com condição inicial $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$. Se,*

$$(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^{\frac{32}{7}}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

então $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$.

O critério dado no teorema acima foi provado em [73], se considerarmos o caso particular das equações de Navier-Stokes. Mais claramente, Z. Zhang e X. Yang [73] estabeleceram que uma solução fraca $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$, definida em $[0, T]$, é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ se é assumida a seguinte hipótese sobre o gradiente da terceira componente do campo velocidade $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$:

$$\nabla u_3 \in L^{\frac{32}{7}}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Para mais detalhes ver [73].

Ao estudarmos Z. Zhang e X. Yang [74], é possível nos depararmos com outro critério de regularidade para uma solução fraca $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$, definida em $[0, T]$, das equações de Navier-Stokes (3). Este é descrito da seguinte forma:

$$\partial_3 u_3 \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

(Para mais detalhes ver [74]). De forma análoga ao desenvolvimento dado em [74], garantimos a suavidade de uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$, definida em $[0, T]$, do sistema magneto-micropolar (1), exigindo a condição abaixo:

$$(\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b}) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Escrevendo a afirmação acima em forma de teorema, temos o seguinte:

Teorema 0.5. *Sejam $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ com $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $T > 0$. Considere que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ é uma solução fraca das equações magneto-micropolares (1) em $[0, T]$ com condição inicial $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$. Se,*

$$(\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

então $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$.

Por fim, Z. Zhang [68] mostrou que uma solução fraca $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$, definida em $[0, T]$, do sistema de Navier-Stokes (3) é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$, quando

$$\nabla u_3 \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{3}{q} + \frac{2}{p} = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4q}, \quad \frac{3}{2} < q < 3.$$

(Para mais detalhes ver [68]). A extensão de [68], obtida nesta dissertação, para o contexto das equações magneto-micropolares (1), é dada como segue.

Teorema 0.6. *Seja $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ com $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$ e $T > 0$. Assuma que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ é uma solução fraca das equações magneto-micropolares (1) em $[0, T]$ com condição inicial $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$. Se,*

$$(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)); \quad \frac{3}{q} + \frac{2}{p} = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4q}, \quad \frac{3}{2} < q < 3,$$

então a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$.

Todas as extensões citadas acima, estão devidamente detalhadas, nas Seções 3.1, 3.2 e 3.3 dessa dissertação.

É importante destacar aqui que todas as provas dos resultados principais desta dissertação consideram derivadas clássicas da solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ para (1). A existência de tais derivadas seguem do fato que quando comparamos o tempo maximal T^* para a existência da solução forte do sistema (1) com T (ver Teoremas 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 e 0.6) só precisamos analisar o caso $T^* < T$, já que a outra condição nos leva, de forma trivial, à desejada regularidade da solução fraca (esta, neste caso, será a solução forte).

O esboço do restante do trabalho é dado como segue: no Capítulo 1 apresentamos as notações, as definições e os resultados básicos necessários para um bom entendimento do conteúdo; no Capítulo 2, as provas dos resultados dados em [1, 61] são estabelecidas; e, por último, no Capítulo 3, acrescentamos as extensões obtidas a partir dos artigos [68, 73, 74].

Capítulo 1

Notações, Definições e Resultados Preliminares

Neste capítulo, listamos algumas notações e definições que serão aplicadas em todo o trabalho. Aproveitamos também para acrescentar, sem provas, alguns resultados bem conhecidos que serão utilizados aqui como, por exemplo, Desigualdades de Hölder e Gagliardo-Nirenberg.

1.1 Notações e Definições

Primeiramente, vamos apresentar algumas notações e definições que serão utilizadas ao longo dessa dissertação.

- Letras em negrito denotam campos de vetores, como por exemplo,

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(x, t) = (a_1(x, t), a_2(x, t), a_3(x, t)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \geq 0.$$

- A norma Euclidiana de qualquer vetor $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ é denotada por $|\mathbf{a}| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2}$.
- A notação $L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ é utilizado para o espaço de Lebesgue equipado com a norma $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$, onde $1 \leq \alpha \leq \infty$; mais especificamente,

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_\alpha := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{a}(x)|^\alpha dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha < \infty,$$

e

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \text{ess}\{\|\mathbf{a}(x)\|\},$$

onde $\mathbf{a} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) é uma função mensurável. Definimos o produto interno em L^2 de duas funções vetoriais por

$$(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{a}(x) \cdot \mathbf{b}(x) dx,$$

onde $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{d} := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i d_i$ para $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n), \mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$; e $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) são funções mensuráveis.

- Considere $\nabla \mathbf{a} = (\nabla a_1, \dots, \nabla a_n)$ a notação para o gradiente de $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, onde $\nabla a_j = (\partial_1 a_j, \partial_2 a_j, \partial_3 a_j)$, com $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ para todo $i = 1, 2, 3$ e $j = 1, \dots, n$.
- O gradiente horizontal é denotado por $\nabla_h \mathbf{a} = (\nabla_h a_1, \dots, \nabla_h a_n)$, onde $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ e $\nabla_h a_j = (\partial_1 a_j, \partial_2 a_j)$, com $j = 1, \dots, n$.
- Aqui $\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla := \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i \partial_i$, onde $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.
- $\nabla \times \mathbf{a}$ indica o rotacional de $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.
- Denote $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i a_i$, onde $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.
- Δ representa o operador Laplaciano.
- O Laplaciano horizontal é denotado por $\Delta_h \mathbf{a} = (\Delta_h a_1, \dots, \Delta_h a_n)$, onde $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ e $\Delta_h a_j = \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_i^2 a_j$, com $j = 1, \dots, n$.
- O espaço de Sobolev $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ é munido da norma

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{1,2} := \sqrt{\|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{a}\|_2^2},$$

onde $\mathbf{a} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) é uma função mensurável.

- Seja $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ um espaço de Banach e assuma que $1 \leq \beta \leq \infty$, $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Denotamos por $L^\beta(c, d; X)$ o espaço de todas as funções mensuráveis $f : [c, d] \rightarrow X$ com $\|f(\cdot)\| \in L^\beta([c, d])$ dotado com a norma $\|f\|_{L^\beta(c, d; X)} := \left(\int_c^d \|f(t)\|^\beta dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$, onde $\beta < \infty$; e também $\|f\|_{L^\infty(c, d; X)} = \sup_{t \in [c, d]} \text{ess}\{\|f(t)\|\}$.
- $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denota o espaço das funções de classe $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ com suporte compacto em \mathbb{R}^n .

- $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denota o espaço das funções suaves com suporte compacto em \mathbb{R}^n .
- Definimos uma solução fraca de (1) do seguinte modo: Seja $T > 0$ e $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, com $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. A função mensurável $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(x, t)$ chama-se uma solução fraca de (1) em $[0, T]$ se as seguintes condições são garantidas
 1. $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$;
 2. o sistema (1) é satisfeito no sentido de distribuições;
 3. a desigualdade de energia é válida, isto é,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + 2(\mu + \chi) \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau + 2\gamma \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \\ & + 2\nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{b}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau + 2\kappa \int_0^t \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau + 2\chi \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \\ & \leq \|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

para todo $0 \leq t < T$.

- As constantes, neste trabalho, podem mudar linha por linha, mas serão denotadas da mesma maneira. Também, em alguns momentos, não nos preocuparemos com a notação de dependência em x e t , por exemplo, $\|\mathbf{u}\|_2$ ou $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_2$ significará $\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_2$.

1.2 Resultados Preliminares

Nesta seção, apresentaremos alguns resultados que serão aplicados com bastante frequência neste trabalho.

- (Desigualdade de Hölder)(ver [20]): Sejam $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ expoentes conjugados, isto é, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

1. Sejam $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ e $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ funções tais que $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ e $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Então,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

- (Desigualdade de Young)(ver [20]): Se $1 < p, q < \infty$ tais que $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, então para todo par de números reais a e b não negativos, vale a desigualdade

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}.$$

- (Desigualdade de Gronwall)(ver [16]): Seja $f(t)$ uma função não negativa e diferenciável em $[0, T]$, que satisfaçõa:

$$f'(t) \leq g(t)f(t) + h(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

onde $g(t)$ e $h(t)$ são funções contínuas não negativas em $[0, T]$. Então,

$$f(t) \leq e^{\int_0^t g(\tau) d\tau} [f(0) + \int_0^t h(\tau) d\tau], \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

- (Desigualdade de Gagliardo-Nirenberg)(ver [44]): Sejam $1 \leq p < n$, $1 \leq s < \infty$ e $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Existe uma constante positiva C tal que

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_q \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_s^{1-\theta} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p^\theta, \quad (1.2)$$

onde $\frac{1}{q} = \theta \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n} \right) + \frac{1-\theta}{s}$.

- (Desigualdade de Interpolação)(ver [20]): Sejam $0 < p < q < r \leq \infty$ e $\mathbf{u} \in L^p \cap L^r$. Então,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_q \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_p^\theta \|\mathbf{u}\|_r^{1-\theta},$$

com $\theta = \frac{q^{-1}-r^{-1}}{p^{-1}-r^{-1}}$.

Capítulo 2

Critérios de Regularidade Envolvendo Somente o Campo Velocidade

Neste capítulo, estudaremos, minuciosamente, o resultado principal apresentado em [61]. Mais precisamente, mostraremos como Y. Wang [61] provou que uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$, definida em $[0, T]$, do sistema magneto-micropolar (1) pode ser estendida suavemente além de T se

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1, \quad p \geq 3.$$

A extensão relatada acima, também discutida aqui, foi provada por Y. Baoquan [1] quando foi assumida uma duas hipóteses abaixo:

$$\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t) \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1, \quad 3 < p \leq \infty,$$

ou ainda

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 2, \quad \frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty.$$

2.1 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo Somente $\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$

Nesta seção, nossa meta é provar que uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ do sistema magneto-micropolar (1), definida em $[0, T]$, pode ser estendida suavemente além de T , quando a seguinte

hipótese, envolvendo somente uma componente do gradiente do campo velocidade $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$, é imposta:

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1, \quad p \geq 3.$$

Antes de enunciar o resultado que garante o cumprimento de nosso objetivo, permita-nos estabelecer dois lemas que desempenham um papel importante na prova do Teorema 2.1 abaixo. O primeiro deles foi provado em [10].

Lema 2.1 (ver [10]). *Assuma que $\theta, \lambda, \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfazem*

$$1 \leq \theta, \lambda < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{2}{\lambda} > 1, \quad 1 + \frac{3}{\vartheta} = \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{2}{\lambda}.$$

Assuma que $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\partial_1 f, \partial_2 f \in L^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^3)$ e $\partial_3 f \in L^\theta(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Então, existe uma constante positiva C tal que

$$\|f\|_\vartheta \leq C \|\partial_1 f\|_\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_2 f\|_\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_3 f\|_\theta^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Particularmente, se $\lambda = 2$ e $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\partial_3 f \in L^\theta(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (com $1 \leq \theta < \infty$), então existe uma constante positiva C tal que

$$\|f\|_{3\theta} \leq C \|\partial_1 f\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_2 f\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_3 f\|_\theta^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Demonstração. Considere, sem perda de generalidade, que $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Assim sendo, note que

$$\int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \partial_1 \{[f(s, x_2, x_3)]^{1+(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta}\} ds = [1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \vartheta] \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} [f(s, x_2, x_3)]^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} \partial_1 f(s, x_2, x_3) ds.$$

Assim, pelo Teorema Fundamental do Cálculo, temos que

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)|^{1+(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} |f(s, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_1 f(s, x_2, x_3)| ds \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

Analogamente, conclui-se que

$$|f(x)|^{1+(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_2$$

e também

$$|f(x)|^{1+(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\vartheta} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\vartheta} |\partial_3 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_3.$$

Desta forma, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)|^\vartheta &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\vartheta} |\partial_3 f(x, y, z)| dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrando com respeito a x_1 , chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^\vartheta dx_1 &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\vartheta} |\partial_3 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

Portanto, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, podemos escrever o seguinte:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^\vartheta dx_1 &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\vartheta} |\partial_3 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Agora, integrando com respeito a x_2 e x_3 , obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^\vartheta dx &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\vartheta} |\partial_3 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_2 dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

Com isso,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^\vartheta dx &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\vartheta} |\partial_3 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_2 dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

Consequentemente, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, infere-se que

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^\vartheta dx &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\vartheta} |\partial_3 f| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_2 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

Deste modo, aplicando a Desigualdade de Hölder mais uma vez, chegamos a

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^\vartheta dx \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\vartheta} |\partial_3 f| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_2 f| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\vartheta} |\partial_1 f| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Assim, aplicando a Desigualdade de Hölder a cada uma das integrais encontradas do lado direito da desigualdade acima, obtemos

$$\|f\|_\vartheta^\vartheta \leq C \|f\|_\vartheta^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \|\partial_1 f\|_\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_\vartheta^{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \|\partial_2 f\|_\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_\vartheta^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \|\partial_3 f\|_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Por conseguinte, encontramos

$$\|f\|_\vartheta^\vartheta \leq C \|f\|_\vartheta^{\vartheta - \frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_1 f\|_\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 f\|_\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 f\|_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Por fim, obtemos

$$\|f\|_\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C \|\partial_1 f\|_\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 f\|_\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 f\|_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

O caso particular segue facilmente da desigualdade acima. Isto conclui a prova do lema em questão.

□

O segundo lema também foi demonstrado em [10].

Lema 2.2 (ver [10]). *Seja $2 \leq q \leq 6$ e assuma que $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Então existe uma constante*

positiva C tal que

$$\|f\|_q \leq C \|f\|_2^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|\partial_1 f\|_2^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} \|\partial_2 f\|_2^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} \|\partial_3 f\|_2^{\frac{q-2}{2q}}.$$

Demonstração. A Desigualdade de Interpolação nos informa que

$$\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_2^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|f\|_6^{1-\frac{6-q}{2q}} = \|f\|_2^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|f\|_6^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}}, \quad (2.2)$$

desde que $2 \leq q \leq 6$. Porém, por (2.1), obtemos

$$\|f\|_6 \leq C \|\partial_1 f\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_2 f\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_3 f\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Assim sendo, chegamos a

$$\|f\|_6^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}} \leq C \|\partial_1 f\|_2^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} \|\partial_2 f\|_2^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} \|\partial_3 f\|_2^{\frac{q-2}{2q}}.$$

Portanto, substituindo a desigualdade acima em (2.2), encontramos

$$\|f\|_q \leq C \|f\|_2^{\frac{6-q}{2q}} \|\partial_1 f\|_2^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} \|\partial_2 f\|_2^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} \|\partial_3 f\|_2^{\frac{q-2}{2q}}.$$

Isto completa a prova do lema em questão. \square

Agora, estamos aptos a provar o resultado principal desta seção, o qual foi estabelecido em 2013 por Y. Wang [61]

Teorema 2.1 (ver [61]). *Seja $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ tal que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ é uma solução fraca para o sistema magneto-micropolar (1) no intervalo $[0, T]$. Se*

$$\int_0^T \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leq 1, \quad p \geq 3, \quad (2.3)$$

então a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ pode ser estendida suavemente além de $t = T$.

Demonstração. Vamos provar que $\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2$ é limitada por uma constante que não depende de t no intervalo de tempo $[0, T]$. Dessa forma, concluiremos que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ pode ser estendida suavemente além de T .

Primeiramente vamos encontrar uma desigualdade de energia para a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$. Sendo assim, observe que se aplicarmos o produto interno $(\cdot, \mathbf{u})_2$ à primeira equação do sistema

magneto-micropolar (1) obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 &= (\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &= (\mu + \chi)(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{u})_2 - (\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \mathbf{u})_2 + \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Agora vamos estudar cuidadosamente alguns dos termos descritos no lado direito das igualdades acima. Dessa forma, integrando por partes, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} dx = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i^2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{u} dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} dx \\ &= -\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Portanto, tem-se que

$$(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_2 = -\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Provaremos abaixo que também ocorre a seguinte igualdade:

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})_2 = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Com efeito, utilizando integração por partes novamente, encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{u} dx &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i \partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} dx = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) u_j dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i (u_i u_j) u_j dx = - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) u_j^2 dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) u_j dx. \end{aligned}$$

Aqui é importante notar que

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) u_j \, dx &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i (u_i u_j) u_j \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) u_j^2 \, dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) u_j \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

isto é equivalente a

$$- \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) u_j \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) u_j^2 \, dx.$$

Com isso, conclui-se

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dx &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) u_j^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) u_j^2 \, dx \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) u_j^2 \, dx \\ &= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) u_j^2 \, dx \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Agora, estamos interessados em estabelecer a igualdade abaixo:

$$-(\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \mathbf{u})_2 = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

De fato, por integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} -(\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \mathbf{u})_2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) u_i \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2)(\partial_i u_i) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2)(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) \, dx \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

desde que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Desta maneira, substituindo (2.5), (2.6) e (2.7) em (2.4), chega-se a

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 = -(\mu + \chi) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{u})_2 + \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u})_2,$$

isto é,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u} dx. \quad (2.8)$$

Analogamente, aplique o produto interno (\cdot, \mathbf{w}) à segunda equação do sistema (1) em ordem a encontrar:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 &= (\partial_t \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &= \gamma (\Delta \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})_2 + \kappa (\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{w})_2 - 2\chi (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})_2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})_2 + \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Permita-nos avaliar alguns termos do lado direito das igualdades acima. Assim,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{w})_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{w} dx = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) w_i dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) (\partial_i w_i) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) dx \\ &= - \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

A seguir, vamos demonstrar que

$$-(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})_2 = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

De fato, é fácil ver que

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})_2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{w} dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i w_j) w_j dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i (u_i w_j) w_j dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) w_j^2 dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i w_j) w_j dx. \end{aligned}$$

Por outro lado, é verdade que

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i w_j) w_j dx = - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) w_j^2 dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i w_j) w_j dx,$$

ou equivalentemente,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i w_j) w_j dx = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) w_j^2 dx.$$

Consequentemente, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) w_j^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) w_j^2 dx \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

pois \mathbf{u} é livre de divergente. Analogamente ao que foi feito em (2.5), obtemos

$$(\Delta \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w})_2 = -\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2. \quad (2.12)$$

Logo, por substituir os resultados encontrados em (2.10), (2.11) e (2.12) em (2.9), infere-se

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = -\gamma \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 - \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 - 2\chi \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})_2,$$

ou seja,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{w} dx. \quad (2.13)$$

Agora, estamos interessados em estudar a norma L^2 do campo magnético \mathbf{b} . Para este fim, considere o produto interno $(\cdot, \mathbf{b})_2$ à terceira equação do sistema (1) para mostrar que

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{b}\|_2^2 &= (\partial_t \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b})_2 \\ &= \nu (\Delta \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b})_2 + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Analogamente a (2.5), temos que

$$(\Delta \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b})_2 = -\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \quad (2.15)$$

e, por (2.11), também temos

$$-(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b})_2 = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Assim sendo, substituindo (2.15) e (2.16) em (2.14), chegamos a

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{b} dx. \quad (2.17)$$

Somando (2.8), (2.13) e (2.17), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{w} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{b} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Vamos agora estudar as duas parcelas que envolvem rotacionais. Sendo assim, é fácil ver que

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u} = (\partial_1 w_2) u_3 + (\partial_2 w_3) u_1 + (\partial_3 w_1) u_2 - (\partial_3 w_2) u_1 - (\partial_1 w_3) u_2 - (\partial_2 w_1) u_3$$

e também que

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = (\partial_1 u_2) w_3 + (\partial_2 u_3) w_1 + (\partial_3 u_1) w_2 - (\partial_3 u_2) w_1 - (\partial_1 u_3) w_2 - (\partial_2 u_1) w_3.$$

Daí, utilizando integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{w} dx \\ &= \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [-w_2(\partial_1 u_3) - w_3(\partial_2 u_1) - w_1(\partial_3 u_2) + w_2(\partial_3 u_1) + w_3(\partial_1 u_2) + w_1(\partial_2 u_3)] dx \\ &+ \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(\partial_1 u_2) w_3 + (\partial_2 u_3) w_1 + (\partial_3 u_1) w_2 - (\partial_3 u_2) w_1 - (\partial_1 u_3) w_2 - (\partial_2 u_1) w_3] dx \\ &= 2\chi \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_1(\partial_2 u_3 - \partial_3 u_2) dx \right] + 2\chi \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_2(\partial_3 u_1 - \partial_1 u_3) dx \right] \\ &+ 2\chi \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_3(\partial_1 u_2 - \partial_2 u_1) dx \right] \\ &= 2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{w} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) dx, \end{aligned}$$

onde

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{u} = (\partial_2 u_3 - \partial_3 u_2, \partial_3 u_1 - \partial_1 u_3, \partial_1 u_2 - \partial_2 u_1).$$

Consequentemente, pelas Desigualdades de Cauchy e Young, temos que

$$2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{w} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) dx \leq 2\chi \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_2 \leq \chi \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_2^2.$$

Pela Identidade de Parseval, temos que

$$\|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_2 = \|\widehat{\nabla \times \mathbf{u}}\|_2 = \|k \times \widehat{\mathbf{u}}\|_2.$$

Como

$$k \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{j=1}^3 k_j \widehat{u}_j = -i \sum_{j=1}^3 i k_j \widehat{u}_j = -i \sum_{j=1}^3 \widehat{\partial_j u_j} = -i \widehat{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}} = 0,$$

desde que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, então

$$\|\nabla \times \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 = \|k \times \widehat{\mathbf{u}}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k|^2 |\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{\nabla \mathbf{u}}|^2 dk = \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2,$$

onde na última igualdade usamos novamente a Identidade de Parseval. Por fim,

$$\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{w} dx \leq \chi \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2. \quad (2.19)$$

Analisando as outras duas integrais que restam no lado direito da desigualdade (2.18), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{b} dx &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i b_j) u_j dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i u_j) b_j dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i (b_i u_j) b_j dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i u_j) b_j dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i b_i) u_j b_j dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i u_j) b_j dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i u_j) b_j dx \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$. Portanto, substituindo (2.19) e (2.20) em (2.18), encontramos

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \leq 0.$$

Integrando a desigualdade acima em relação ao intervalo $[0, t]$, temos que

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + \mu \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau + \gamma \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau + \nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{b}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \\ + \kappa \int_0^t \|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau + \chi \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \leq \frac{1}{2}\|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

para todo $t \in [0, T]$.

Ainda em ordem a encontrar um limite para $\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2$, procuraremos uma estimativa para $\|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2$ que será útil na busca pela concretização do nosso objetivo. Dessa forma, derive a primeira equação do sistema (1), com relação a x_3 , em ordem a obter

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 &= (\partial_t \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &= (\mu + \chi)(\Delta \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 - (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 + (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 - (\nabla \partial_3(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 + \chi(\nabla \times \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Permita-nos examinar alguns termos do lado direito das igualdades acima. Assim sendo, analogamente ao que foi feito em (2.6), temos que

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_3 u_j) \partial_3 u_j dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) (\partial_3^2 u_j) dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_3 u_j) \partial_3 u_j dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_3 u_j) \partial_3 u_j dx, \end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Portanto,

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

Observando (2.7), podemos concluir que

$$\begin{aligned} -(\nabla \partial_3(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i \partial_3(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) (\partial_3 u_i) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_3(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) \partial_3(\partial_i u_i) dx \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

já que \mathbf{u} é livre de divergente. Logo,

$$(\nabla \partial_3(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

Além disso,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{u})_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i^2 \partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i \partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_i \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx \\ &= - \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Assim, substituindo os resultados obtidos em (2.22), (2.23) e (2.24) em (2.21), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \partial_3 \mathbf{w}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Derive a segunda equação do sistema (1), com relação terceira componente da variável espacial, para obter

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 &= (\partial_t \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &= \gamma (\Delta \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2 + \kappa (\nabla (\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}), \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2 - 2\chi (\partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2 - (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2 + \chi (\nabla \times \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note que, analogamente a (2.10), é fácil obter

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla (\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}), \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i (\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}) \partial_3 w_i dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}) (\partial_3 \partial_i w_i) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}) (\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}) dx \\ &= - \|\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Além disso,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_3 w_j) \partial_3 w_j \, dx \\
&= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) (\partial_3^2 w_j) \, dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_3 w_j) \partial_3 w_j \, dx \\
&= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_3 w_j) \partial_3 w_j \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

já que \mathbf{u} é livre de divergente. Dessa forma,

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w})_2 = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

Por utilizar (2.24), (2.26) e (2.27), conclui-se que

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w} \, dx \\
&\quad + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \partial_3 \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w} \, dx.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

E veja também que,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 &= (\partial_t \partial_3 \mathbf{b}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})_2 \\
&= \nu (\Delta \partial_3 \mathbf{b}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})_2 - (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})_2 \\
&\quad + (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})_2 + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Por (2.24) e (2.27), temos que

$$(\Delta \partial_3 \mathbf{b}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})_2 = -\|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \quad \text{e} \quad (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})_2 = 0.$$

Consequentemente,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} \, dx.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Somando (2.25), (2.28) e (2.29), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ + 2\chi \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx \\ + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \partial_3 \mathbf{w}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w} dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \partial_3 \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w} dx \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Analogamente a (2.19), temos

$$\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \partial_3 \mathbf{w}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx + \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \partial_3 \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w} dx \leq \chi \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2, \quad (2.30)$$

já que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Da mesma forma que em (2.20), temos

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} dx &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i \partial_3 u_j) (\partial_3 b_j) dx \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_3 \partial_i u_j) (\partial_3 b_j) dx = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

pois \mathbf{b} é livre de divergente. Assim, por (2.30) e (2.31), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ \leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w} dx \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} dx =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

No que segue, iremos estimar I_j ($j = 1, \dots, 5$). Por integração por partes, temos que

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_i) (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_3 u_j) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i \partial_3 u_j) (\partial_3 u_i) u_j dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_j) (\partial_i \partial_3 u_i) u_j dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i \partial_3 u_j) (\partial_3 u_i) u_j dx, \end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Portanto, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, obtemos

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_i \partial_3 u_j| |\partial_3 u_i| |u_j| dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}| |\partial_3 \mathbf{u}| |\mathbf{u}| dx \leq C \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_\rho \|\mathbf{u}\|_{3p},$$

onde

$$\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{3p} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad 2 \leq \rho \leq 6.$$

Segue da Desigualdade de Gagliardo-Nirenberg (1.2), do Lema 2.1 e da Desigualdade de Young, que

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{1-3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho})} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho})} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{3p} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{1-3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho})} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho})} \|\partial_1 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{1+3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho})} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{1-3(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho})} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{2r} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^r, \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

onde $r = \frac{2p}{3(p-1)}$. Quando $p > 3$, temos $r < 1$. Daí, usando a Desigualdade de Young novamente, encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 (r \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + (1-r) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{r}{1-r}}) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

onde

$$\delta = \frac{r}{1-r} = \frac{2p}{p-3} \text{ e } \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{\delta} = 1.$$

Agora, vamos encontrar uma estimativa para I_2 . Primeiramente, note que, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, temos

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{u} dx = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 b_i) (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_3 u_j) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_3 \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\partial_3 \mathbf{u}| dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Usando as Desigualdades de Gagliardo-Nirenberg (1.2) e Young, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{1-\frac{3}{p}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Novamente, pela Desigualdade de Young, temos que

$$I_2 \leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C(\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}}. \quad (2.35)$$

Analogamente ao que foi feito para I_2 podemos estimar I_3 . De fato, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, concluímos que

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w} dx = - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_i)(\partial_i w_j)(\partial_3 w_j) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_3 \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}| |\partial_3 \mathbf{w}| dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Novamente pelas Desigualdades (1.2) e Young, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{1-\frac{3}{p}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C(\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Agora, estamos interessados em encontrar um limite para I_4 . Sendo assim,

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &:= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_i)(\partial_i b_j)(\partial_3 b_j) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_3 \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\partial_3 \mathbf{b}| dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{1-\frac{3}{p}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C(\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Por fim, estimemos I_5 . É fácil ver que

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{b} \, dx \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 b_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_3 b_j) \, dx \\
&= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i \partial_3 b_j)(\partial_3 b_i) u_j \, dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 b_j)(\partial_i \partial_3 b_i) u_j \, dx \\
&= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i \partial_3 b_j)(\partial_3 b_i) u_j \, dx,
\end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$. Deste modo, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, infere-se

$$I_5 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_i \partial_3 b_j| |\partial_3 b_i| |u_j| \, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}| |\partial_3 \mathbf{b}| |\mathbf{u}| \, dx \leq C \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_\rho \|\mathbf{u}\|_{3p}.$$

Pelas Desigualdades de Gagliardo-Nirenberg e Young, e também pelo Lema 2.1 chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &\leq C \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{1-3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{3p} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{1-3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)} \|\partial_1 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{1-3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
&\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{2r} \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^r,
\end{aligned}$$

onde $r = \frac{2p}{3(p-1)}$. Quando $p > 3$, temos que $r < 1$. Daí, usando Desigualdade de Young, obtemos

$$I_5 \leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta), \quad (2.38)$$

onde $\delta = \frac{2p}{p-3}$ e $\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{\delta} = 1$. Combinando (2.32)-(2.38), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
&\leq C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta) + C (\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta) \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}} + C (\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta) \\
&\quad \times \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}} + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequentemente, pela Desigualdade de Young, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq C(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta) (\|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}} \\
& \quad + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}} + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}}) \\
& \leq C(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta) (\|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \cdot 1^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \\
& \quad + C(\|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}} + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}} + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p-6}{2p-3}})^{\frac{2p-3}{p-3}}) \\
& \leq C(\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_p^\delta) (1 + \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

onde no último passo utilizamos a desigualdade $(a + b + c)^p \leq C(a^p + b^p + c^p)$. Por aplicar o Lema de Gronwall, a desigualdade (1.1) e a hipótese (2.3), segue que

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 & \leq e^{C \int_0^t [\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_p^\delta] d\tau} \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t (\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 + \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_p^\delta) d\tau \\
& \leq e^{C(\|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 + \int_0^T \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^\delta dt)} \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 \\
& \quad + C \|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 + \int_0^T \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^\delta dt \\
& \leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

Integrando (2.39) em relação ao intervalo $[0, t]$, com $0 \leq t \leq T$ e por usar (2.40), (1.1) e (2.3), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + \mu \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds + \gamma \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds + \nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \\
& + 2\kappa \int_0^t \|\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds + 2\chi \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \\
& + C \int_0^t \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s)\|_p^\delta ds \leq \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 + C(\|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 + \int_0^T \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^\delta dt) \leq C,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

para todo $t \in [0, T]$.

Considerando $p = 3$, temos $r = 1$. Assim, busquemos estimativas para I_j , com $j = 1, \dots, 5$.

Note que,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_3 \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

onde nesta última desigualdade usamos a hipótese. Agora, observe que pelo Lema 2.1, por hipótese e pela Desigualdade de Young, temos

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_6 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_3 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_1 \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_3 \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Analogamente ao feito para I_2 podemos estimar I_3 . Com efeito,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_6 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_3 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2 \|\partial_1 \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_3 \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2 \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

Agora, estimando I_4 , obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_6 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_3 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\partial_1 \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\partial_3 \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

Por fim, estimemos I_5 . Assim,

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_3 \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{6} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

Combinando (2.42)-(2.46), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \|\partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq C \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + C \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 \\
& \leq C \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 (1 + \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

Aplicando o Lema de Gronwall e (1.1), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 & \leq e^{C \int_0^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau} \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \\
& \leq e^{C \int_0^T \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau} \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}_0, \partial_3 \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \\
& \leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

Integrando (2.47) em relação ao intervalo $[0, t]$, com $0 \leq t \leq T$ e utilizando (2.48) e (1.1), temos

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + \mu \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds + \gamma \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds + \nu \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \\
& + 2\kappa \int_0^t \|\nabla \cdot \partial_3 \mathbf{w}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds + 2\chi \int_0^t \|\partial_3 \mathbf{w}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 \\
& \times (1 + \|(\partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2) ds \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

Estamos prontos para provar que a norma- L^2 do gradiente da solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ de (1) é limitada em $[0, T]$. Primeiramente, se aplicarmos $(\cdot, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2$ à primeira equação do sistema (1), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 & = (\nabla(\partial_t \mathbf{u}), \nabla \mathbf{u})_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_i \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 \\
& = - \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \partial_i^2 \mathbf{u})_2 = -(\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 \\
& = -(\mu + \chi)(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 + (\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b}|^2), \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 \\
& \quad - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Vamos mostrar que a parcela que envolve a pressão é nula. De fato,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) \Delta u_i dx \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2) \Delta(\partial_i u_i) dx \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Assim,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx \\
&\quad - \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx. \tag{2.50}
\end{aligned}$$

Analogamente ao que foi feito para a primeira equação de (1), podemos inferir o seguinte sobre a segunda equação deste mesmo sistema:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 &= -(\partial_t \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 \\
&= -\gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 - \kappa(\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 + 2\chi(\mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2.
\end{aligned}$$

É fácil ver que

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(\partial_j^2 w_i) dx \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j \partial_i(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(\partial_j w_i) dx \\
&= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(\partial_j \partial_i w_i) dx \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) \partial_j(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) dx \\
&= -(\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}))_2 \\
&= -\|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Além disso,

$$(\mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\mathbf{w}, \partial_i^2 \mathbf{w})_2 = - \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 = -(\nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{w})_2 = -\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2.$$

Dessa forma, chegamos a

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{w} dx - \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{w} dx \quad (2.51)$$

Aplicando o produto $(\cdot, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2$ à terceira equação do sistema (1), conclui-se

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 &= (\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{b}, \nabla \mathbf{b})_2 \\ &= -(\partial_t \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 \\ &= -\nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2, \end{aligned}$$

ou equivalentemente,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx. \quad (2.52)$$

Somando (2.50), (2.51) e (2.52), temos

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx - \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{w} dx \\ &- \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{w} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

Note que, por integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx - \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{w} dx \\
& = -\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 w_2 \Delta u_3 + \partial_2 w_3 \Delta u_1 + \partial_3 w_1 \Delta u_2 - \partial_3 w_2 \Delta u_1 - \partial_1 w_3 \Delta u_2 - \partial_2 w_1 \Delta u_3) dx \\
& \quad - \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_2 \Delta w_3 + \partial_2 u_3 \Delta w_1 + \partial_3 u_1 \Delta w_2 - \partial_3 u_2 \Delta w_1 - \partial_1 u_3 \Delta w_2 - \partial_2 u_1 \Delta w_3) dx \\
& = -\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 w_2 \Delta u_3 + \partial_2 w_3 \Delta u_1 + \partial_3 w_1 \Delta u_2 - \partial_3 w_2 \Delta u_1 - \partial_1 w_3 \Delta u_2 - \partial_2 w_1 \Delta u_3) dx \\
& \quad - \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\partial_1 w_3 \Delta u_2 - \partial_2 w_1 \Delta u_3 - \partial_3 w_2 \Delta u_1 + \partial_3 w_1 \Delta u_2 + \partial_1 w_2 \Delta u_3 + \partial_2 w_3 \Delta u_1) dx \\
& = -2\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Assim, usando as Desigualdades de Cauchy e Young, obtemos

$$-\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx - \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{w} dx \leq 2\chi \|\nabla \times \mathbf{w}\|_2 \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2 \leq \chi \|\nabla \times \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2.$$

Agora, aplicando a Identidade de Parseval, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla \times \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \times \mathbf{w}|^2 dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{\nabla \times \mathbf{w}}|^2 dk \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |ik \times \widehat{\mathbf{w}}|^2 dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k \times \widehat{\mathbf{w}}|^2 dk \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k|^2 |\widehat{\mathbf{w}}|^2 dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{\nabla \mathbf{w}}|^2 dk \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dk = \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$-\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx - \chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{w} dx \leq \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2. \quad (2.54)$$

Substituindo (2.54) em (2.53), temos

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{w} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx \\
& \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx. \quad (2.55)
\end{aligned}$$

Agora, nosso interesse é estimar as integrais do lado direito da desigualdade acima. Assim sendo,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k^2 u_j) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe que

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) (\partial_k^2 u_j) dx + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_k u_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_k u_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx, \end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Por conseguinte,

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx = 0.$$

Logo,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx = - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^3 dx.$$

Seguindo as mesmas ideias temos que

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k^2 b_j) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i b_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

A última integral acima é nula. Com efeito,

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i b_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) (\partial_k^2 b_j) dx + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_k b_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_k b_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx, \end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Deste modo, infere-se

$$-\sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i b_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx = 0.$$

Assim, podemos concluir que

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx = - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx.$$

Analogamente, obtem-se

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{w} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dx.$$

Veja ainda que

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k^2 u_j) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i) (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_k \partial_i b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Por integração por partes e usando o fato que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$ é fácil encontrar o seguinte resultado para a última integral exposta acima.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_k \partial_i b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i b_i) (\partial_k u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i \partial_k u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i \partial_k u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequentemente, chegamos a

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}| dx - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i \partial_k u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx. \quad (2.56)$$

Também é verdade que

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx &= -\sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k^2 b_j) dx \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k b_j) dx + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i(\partial_k \partial_i u_j)(\partial_k b_j) dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}| dx + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i(\partial_k \partial_i u_j)(\partial_k b_j) dx. \tag{2.57}
\end{aligned}$$

Assim, somando (2.56) a (2.57), encontramos

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}| dx.$$

Substituindo estes resultados acima na desigualdade (2.55), chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^3 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dx \\
&=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \tag{2.58}
\end{aligned}$$

No que segue, encotraremos estimativas para J_i , para $i = 1, 2, 3$. Note que, pelo Lema 2.2, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &:= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^3 dx \\
&\leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_1 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Usando a Desigualdade de Young, conclui-se

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^3 \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2 \\
&= \frac{\mu}{6} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2) \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2). \tag{2.59}
\end{aligned}$$

Pela Desigualdade de Hölder, obtemos

$$J_2 := C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}| dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_3 \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_3^2.$$

Portanto, pelo Lema 2.2, temos que

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_1 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \partial_2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\nabla \partial_1 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \partial_2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Usando a Desigualdade de Young, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{3}{5}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{5}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{6}{5}} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{4}{5}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2}{5}} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

Analogamente ao que foi feito para estimar J_2 , podemos encontrar as estimativas abaixo (basta aplicar as Desigualdades de Hölder e Young e o Lema 2.2):

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &:= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dx \\ &= C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_3 \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_3^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{3}{5}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{5}} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{6}{5}} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{4}{5}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2}{5}} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}}) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{6} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

Substituindo (2.59), (2.60) e (2.61) em (2.58), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \|(\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Por fim, aplicando o Lema de Gronwall, (2.41), (2.49) e (1.1), chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 &\leq \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}_0, \nabla \mathbf{w}_0, \nabla \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 e^{C \int_0^t (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 + \|(\nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{u}, \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \nabla \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2) ds} \\ &\leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Pela teoria clássica para soluções do sistema (1), (no caso de Navier-Stokes, ver [33]), concluímos que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ pode ser estendida suavemente além de $t = T$. \square

2.2 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo Somente $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$

Nesta seção, estamos interessados em demonstrar que uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ do sistema magneto-micropolar (1), definida em $[0, T]$, pode ser estendida suavemente além de T , quando a seguinte hipótese, envolvendo somente o campo velocidade $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$, é assumida:

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 1, \quad 3 < p \leq \infty.$$

Mais especificamente, estabeleceremos minuciosamente o seguinte teorema, o qual foi provado em 2010 por Y. Baoquan [1].

Teorema 2.2 (ver [1]). *Seja $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ tal que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in C[0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)] \cap C(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ é uma solução suave para o sistema (1). Se $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ satisfaz*

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^q(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 1, \quad 3 < p \leq \infty, \quad (2.62)$$

então a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ pode ser suavemente estendida além de $t = T$.

Demonstração. Para a demonstração desse teorema, iremos considerar dois casos para p .

Caso 1: Assuma que $3 < p < \infty$.

Estamos, primeiramente, interessados em provar uma estimativa para a norma- L^2 da i -ésima componente do gradiente da solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$. Assim sendo, considere esta derivada na primeira equação do sistema (1) em ordem a obter

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_i \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 &= (\partial_i \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &= (\mu + \chi)(\Delta \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 - (\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 + (\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 - (\nabla \partial_i(p + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b}|^2)_2, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 + \chi(\nabla \times \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2. \end{aligned}$$

Permita-nos estudar algumas parcelas encontradas no lado direito das igualdades acima. Dessa

forma, note que

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j^2 \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 = - \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{u}\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.63)$$

Também temos que

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 &= \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_j (\partial_j \partial_i u_k) (\partial_i u_k) dx \\ &= - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_j u_j) (\partial_i u_k) (\partial_i u_k) dx - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_j (\partial_j \partial_i u_k) (\partial_i u_k) dx \\ &= - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_j (\partial_j \partial_i u_k) (\partial_i u_k) dx, \end{aligned}$$

pois, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Consequentemente,

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 = 0. \quad (2.64)$$

Observe ainda que

$$\begin{aligned} -(\nabla \partial_i (p + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b}|^2), \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 &= - \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j \partial_i (p + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b}|^2), \partial_i u_j)_2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_i (p + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b}|^2), \partial_j \partial_i u_j)_2 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

já que \mathbf{u} é livre de divergente. Deste modo,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_i \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 &= -(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 + (\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &\quad + \chi (\nabla \times \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.65)$$

Derivando a segunda equação do sistema (1) com relação a i -ésima variável espacial, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 &= (\partial_t \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &= \gamma(\Delta \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 + \kappa(\nabla(\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}), \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 - 2\chi(\partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 - (\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 + \chi(\nabla \times \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note que, por integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla(\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}), \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j(\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}), \partial_i w_j)_2 \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^3 (\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_j \partial_i w_j)_2 \\ &= -(\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &= -\|\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogamente ao que foi feito em (2.63) e (2.64), concluimos que

$$(\Delta \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 = - \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

e também

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 = 0.$$

Desta forma,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = -(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 + \chi(\nabla \times \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2. \quad (2.66)$$

E, por fim, aplicando o operador ∂_i à terceira equação do sistema (1), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_i \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 &= (\partial_t \partial_i \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 \\ &= \nu(\Delta \partial_i \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 - (\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 + (\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogamente ao que foi feito em (2.63) e (2.64), obtemos

$$(\Delta \partial_i \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 = - \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$$

e também

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 = 0.$$

Portanto,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_i \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \nu \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 = -(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 + (\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2. \quad (2.67)$$

Somando os resultados obtidos em (2.65), (2.66) e (2.67), infere-se

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & + 2\chi \|\partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = -(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 + (\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 + \chi (\nabla \times \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 \\ & - (\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 + \chi (\nabla \times \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 - (\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 + (\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2. \end{aligned}$$

Agora, vamos estudar os termos do lado direito da igualdade acima. Primeiramente, observe que

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2 = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_j (\partial_j \partial_i b_k) (\partial_i u_k) dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_j (\partial_j \partial_i u_k) (\partial_i b_k) dx \\ & = - \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_j (\partial_j \partial_i u_k) (\partial_i b_k) dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_j (\partial_j \partial_i u_k) (\partial_i b_k) dx \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$. Analogamente ao que foi feito em (2.19), temos

$$\chi (\nabla \times \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 + \chi (\nabla \times \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 = 2\chi (\nabla \times \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2 \leq \chi \|\nabla \partial_i \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2.$$

Assim, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\mu \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{b}\|_2^2) + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2| + |(\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2| + |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2| + |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2| \\
& \quad + |(\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2| \\
& =: L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 + L_5. \tag{2.68}
\end{aligned}$$

Agora, iremos estimar L_j , para $j = 1, \dots, 5$. Inicialmente vejamos que, por integração por partes e por utilizar o fato que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, encontramos

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &:= |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_j)(\partial_j u_k)(\partial_i u_k) dx \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_j \partial_i u_j)(\partial_i u_k) u_k dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_j)(\partial_j \partial_i u_k) u_k dx \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_i u_j| |\partial_j \partial_i u_k| |u_k| dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |D^2 \mathbf{u}| dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Logo, pelas Desigualdades de Hölder e Gagliardo-Nirenberg, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2 \\
&\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_p \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} \\
&= C \|\mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

Analogamente,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 &:= |(\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i b_j)(\partial_j b_k)(\partial_i u_k) dx \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i \partial_i b_j)(\partial_j b_k) u_k dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i b_j)(\partial_i \partial_j b_k) u_k dx \right| \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |D^2 \mathbf{b}| dx \\
&\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

e também

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3 &:= |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_j)(\partial_j w_k)(\partial_i w_k) dx \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i \partial_j w_k)(\partial_i w_k) u_j dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i w_k)(\partial_i \partial_j w_k) u_j dx \right| \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}| |D^2 \mathbf{w}| dx \\
&\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Prosseguindo de forma semelhante, encontramos

$$L_4 \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}}$$

e também

$$L_5 \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}}.$$

Logo, passando a soma em (2.68), quando $i = 1, 2, 3$, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
&\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_p [\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}} + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}} + \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}}].
\end{aligned}$$

Mas, pela Desigualdade de Young, temos que

$$C\|\mathbf{u}\|_p\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}}\|D^2\mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}} \leq \frac{\nu}{2}\|D^2\mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{p-3}}\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2.$$

Analogamente, obtem-se

$$C\|\mathbf{u}\|_p\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}}\|D^2\mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}} \leq \frac{\mu}{2}\|D^2\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{p-3}}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2$$

e também

$$C\|\mathbf{u}\|_p\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{p-3}{p}}\|D^2\mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{p+3}{p}} \leq \frac{\gamma}{2}\|D^2\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{p-3}}\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2.$$

Por conseguinte, infere-se

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu\|D^2\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma\|D^2\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu\|D^2\mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + 2\kappa\|\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + 2\chi\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{p-3}}\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Pelo Lema de Gronwall, chegamos a

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 \leq \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}_0, \nabla \mathbf{w}_0, \nabla \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 e^{C \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_p^{\frac{2p}{p-3}} d\tau}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Como

$$\frac{2}{\frac{2p}{p-3}} + \frac{3}{p} = \frac{2(p-3)}{2p} + \frac{3}{p} = \frac{2(p-3) + 6}{2p} = 1,$$

então, pela hipótese (2.62), conclui-se

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Por fim, pela teoria clássica envolvendo a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ do sistema magneto-micropolar (1), (no caso de Navier-Stokes, ver [33]), o resultado segue.

Caso 2: Considere agora que $p = \infty$.

Vamos estimar novamente L_j , $j = 1, \dots, 5$. Primeiramente, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, temos

$$L_1 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |D^2 \mathbf{u}| dx \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |D^2 \mathbf{u}| dx \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2.$$

Analogamente, é simples mostrar que

$$L_2 \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2,$$

$$L_3 \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2 \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2,$$

$$L_4 \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2,$$

e também

$$L_5 \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2.$$

Consequentemente, podemos escrever

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty [\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2 \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2 \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2 \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Logo, pela Desigualdade de Young, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty^2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Assim, pelo Lema de Gronwall, chegamos a

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 \leq \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}_0, \nabla \mathbf{w}_0, \nabla \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 e^{C \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_\infty^2 d\tau}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Então, pela hipótese (2.62), conclui-se que

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Por fim, pela teoria clássica envolvendo a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ do sistema magneto-micropolar (1), (no caso de Navier-Stokes, ver [33]), o resultado segue. \square

2.3 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo Somente $\nabla\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$

Nesta seção, nosso desejo é mostrar que uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ do sistema magneto-micropolar (1), definida em $[0, T]$, pode ser estendida suavemente além de T , quando a seguinte hipótese, envolvendo somente o gradiente do campo velocidade $\nabla\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$, é considerada:

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2.$$

Mais precisamente, provaremos o seguinte resultado, o qual foi estabelecido por Y. Baoquan [1] em 2010, sobre regularidade de soluções fracas para o sistema (1).

Teorema 2.3 (ver [1]). *Seja $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ tal que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in C[0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)] \cap C(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ é uma solução suave para o sistema (1). Se $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ satisfaz*

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_p^q dt < \infty, \quad \frac{3}{2} < p \leq \infty, \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2. \quad (2.69)$$

então a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ pode ser suavemente estendida além de $t = T$.

Demonstração. Separaremos a prova deste resultado em dois casos.

Caso 1: Considere que $\frac{3}{2} < p < \infty$.

Já vimos, no Teorema 2.2, desigualdade (2.68), que

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 (\mu \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{b}\|_2^2) + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\partial_i \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2| + |(\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2| + |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2| + |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2| \\ & \quad + |(\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2| \\ & =: Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + Q_4 + Q_5. \end{aligned}$$

Agora, iremos estimar Q_j , para $j = 1, \dots, 5$, de uma outra forma. Comecemos com Q_1 .

$$Q_1 := |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2| = \left| \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_j)(\partial_j u_k)(\partial_i u_k) dx \right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^3 dx.$$

Logo, pelas Desigualdades de Hölder e Gagliardo-Nirenberg, chegamos a

$$Q_1 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\frac{2p}{p-1}}^2 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{2-\frac{3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}}.$$

Analogamente, é fácil ver que

$$Q_2 := |(\partial_i \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{2-\frac{3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}},$$

$$Q_3 := |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w})_2| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^{2-\frac{3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}},$$

$$Q_4 := |(\partial_i \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \partial_i \mathbf{b})_2| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{2-\frac{3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}}$$

e também

$$Q_5 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{2-\frac{3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}}.$$

Logo, passando a soma em (2.68), quando $i = 1, 2, 3$, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p [\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} + \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Mas, pela Desigualdade de Young, temos que

$$C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} \leq \frac{\mu}{4} \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2.$$

Analogamente, obtém-se

$$C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

e também

$$C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{2p-3}{p}} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^{\frac{3}{p}} \leq \frac{\nu}{2} \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2.$$

Portanto, podemos escrever o seguinte:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_p^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Pelo Lema de Gronwall, conclui-se

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 \leq \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}_0, \nabla \mathbf{w}_0, \nabla \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 e^{C \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_p^{\frac{2p}{2p-3}} d\tau}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Mas,

$$\frac{2}{\frac{2p}{p-3}} + \frac{3}{p} = \frac{2p-6}{2p} + \frac{3}{p} = \frac{2p-6+6}{2p} = 1 \leq 2.$$

Logo, por utilizar a hipótese (2.69), chegamos a

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Por fim, pela teoria clássica envolvendo a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ do sistema magneto-micropolar (1), (no caso de Navier-Stokes, ver [33]), o resultado segue.

Caso 2: Assuma que $p = \infty$.

Vamos estimar novamente Q_j ($j = 1, \dots, 5$) utilizando uma abordagem levemente diferente.

Assim sendo,

$$Q_1 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2.$$

Da mesma forma, temos

$$Q_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2.$$

Analogamente, podemos chegar a

$$Q_3 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2,$$

$$Q_4 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2$$

e também

$$Q_5 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2.$$

Portanto por (2.68), concluímos que

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\mu \|D^2 \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + 2\gamma \|D^2 \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + 2\nu \|D^2 \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_\infty \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Logo, pelo Lema de Gronwall, obtemos

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(t)\|_2^2 \leq \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}_0, \nabla \mathbf{w}_0, \nabla \mathbf{b}_0)\|_2^2 e^{C \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_\infty d\tau}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

Por fim, pela teoria clássica envolvendo a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ do sistema magneto-micropolar (1) o resultado segue. Aqui usamos o fato que $\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_\infty dt < \infty$ (ver (2.69)).

□

Em ordem a concluir este capítulo, é importante destacar que se $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ é uma solução fraca para o sistema magneto-micropolar (1) em $[0, \infty)$ (sobre existência deste tipo de solução citamos [47, 54] e referências inclusas) e $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')(\cdot, t)$ é a solução forte para o mesmo sistema no intervalo maximal $[0, T^*)$ satisfazendo $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')(\cdot, 0) = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (sobre existência deste tipo de solução listamos [46, 53] e referências inclusas), então $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')(\cdot, t) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ para todo $t \in [0, T^*)$; desde que, $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')(\cdot, t)$ é a única solução fraca de (1) em $[0, T^*)$. Portanto, se supusermos, por absurdo, que $T^* < T$ nos Teoremas 2.1, 2.2 e 2.3, assim as hipóteses (2.3), (2.62) e (2.69) são satisfeitas para $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')(\cdot, t) (= (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t))$ em $[0, T^*)$. Consequentemente, estes teoremas garantem que $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')(\cdot, t)$ pode ser estendida suavemente além de $t = T^*$. Isto é uma contradição pela maximalidade deste tempo. Por fim, $T \leq T^*$. Deste modo, $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$; já que, o mesmo ocorre com $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$ em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T^*)$.

Capítulo 3

Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo uma Entrada do Campo Velocidade

Neste capítulo, mostraremos como é possível estender três resultados de regularidade para uma solução fraca das equações de Navier-Stokes (3) para as equações magneto-micropolares (1).

3.1 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo $(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$

Nesta seção, temos como objetivo mostrar mais um critério de regularidade para uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ do sistema de equações magneto-micropolares (1). Com base em um resultado de regularidade para uma solução fraca $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ das equações de Navier-Stokes (3), o qual garante suavidade para $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ quando lhe é imposto a seguinte hipótese sobre a terceira coordenada do campo velocidade,

$$\nabla u_3(\cdot, t) \in L^{\frac{32}{7}}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

ver [73], conseguimos obter um resultado semelhante para $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$, quando adotamos uma hipótese como segue:

$$(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^{\frac{32}{7}}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Para este fim, exibimos um lema que desempenha um papel importante na prova da afirmação acima. Tal resultado foi demonstrado em [63].

Lema 3.1 (ver [63]). *Sejam i, j, k permutação de $1, 2, 3$. Assuma que $f, g, \partial_i g, \partial_j g, h, \partial_j h, \partial_k h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Então,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)g(x)h(x)| dx \leq C \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_i g\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_j g\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|h\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_k h\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_j h\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

onde C é uma constante positiva.

Demonstração. Sem perda de generalidade consideraremos que $f, g, h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ e $i = 1, k = 2, j = 3$. Observe que, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |fg h|(x) dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |fh| dx_1 \right) dx_2 dx_3 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h|^2 dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_2 dx_3 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \|f(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2 \|h(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2 dx_2 dx_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Note que,

$$\partial_1(g^2)(x) = 2g(x)\partial_1 g(x).$$

Desta forma, integrando em $(-\infty, x_1)$, pelo Teorema Fundamental do Cálculo e Desigualdade de Hölder, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} g^2(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \partial_1 g^2(s, x_2, x_3) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} g(s, x_2, x_3) \partial_1 g(s, x_2, x_3) ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} |g(s, x_2, x_3)| |\partial_1 g(s, x_2, x_3)| ds \\ &\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_1 g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assim, podemos escrever

$$\sup_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \leq \sqrt{2} \|g(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 g(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Substituindo a desigualdade acima em (3.1), encontramos, através da Desigualdade de Hölder, que

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |fgh|(x) dx &\leq \sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|g(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 g(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2 \|h(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2 dx_2 dx_3 \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup_{x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \|h(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2 \|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2 dx_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Note que,

$$|h(x)|^2 = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} h(x_1, s, x_3) \partial_2 h(x_1, s, x_3) ds \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x_1, x_2, x_3)| |\partial_2 h(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_2.$$

Dessa forma, podemos inferir

$$\|h(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2^2 \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |h(x_1, x_2, x_3)| |\partial_2 h(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_2.$$

Com isso, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \|h(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2 &\leq \sqrt{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|h(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2 \|\partial_2 h(\cdot, x_2, x_3)\|_2 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \|h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituindo a desigualdade acima em (3.2), concluímos que

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |fgh| dx &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2 dx_3 \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \|h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\partial_2 h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2 dx_3 \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \|h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \|\partial_2 h\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 g\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Por fim, note que novamente pelo Teorema Fundamental do Cálculo e pela Desigualdade de Hölder, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x)|^2 &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^z h(x_1, x_2, x_3) \partial_3 h(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_3 \\ &\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_3 h(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Desta forma, podemos escrever

$$\begin{aligned}
\|h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^2 &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|h(x_1, x_2, \cdot)\|_2 \|\partial_3 h(x_1, x_2, \cdot)\|_2 dx_1 dx_2 \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|h(x_1, x_2, \cdot)\|_2^2 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\partial_3 h(x_1, x_2, \cdot)\|_2^2 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_1 \\
&\leq 2\|h\|_2 \|\partial_3 h\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequentemente,

$$\|h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt[4]{2} \|h\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 h\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Analogamente, obtemos

$$\|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt[4]{2} \|g\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 g\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Logo,

$$\sup_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} \{\|h(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \leq \sqrt{2} \|g\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 g\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|h\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 h\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Portanto,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |fgh| dx \leq 2\sqrt{2} \|f\|_2 \|\partial_1 g\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 h\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|h\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 g\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_3 h\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Isto completa a prova do lema em questão. □

Agora, estamos preparados para apresentar o resultado principal desta seção.

Teorema 3.1. *Sejam $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ com $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $T > 0$. Considere que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ é uma solução fraca das equações magneto-micropolares (1) em $[0, T]$ com condição inicial $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$. Se*

$$(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^{\frac{32}{7}}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (3.3)$$

então $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$.

Demonstração. Seja $0 < \epsilon < T$ arbitrário. Como $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$, conclui-se que $(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Isto nos informa que existe $0 < t_0 < \epsilon$ tal que

$(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$; caso contrário,

$$\infty = \int_0^\epsilon \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 dt \leq \int_0^T \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 dt < \infty.$$

Sabemos que existe uma única solução forte $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')(\cdot, t) \in C([t_0, T^*]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(t_0, T^*; H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ de (1) (sobre solução forte ver [46, 53] e referências inclusas) tal que $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')(\cdot, t_0) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t_0)$, $t = T^*$ é o tempo máximo de existência desta solução e $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}') \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T^*))$ (pois consideramos $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrário). Assim, se $T \leq T^*$, então $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) (= (\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')(\cdot, t))$ é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$. Em contrapartida, assumindo $T^* < T$, provaremos abaixo que $\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2$ é limitada quando $t \nearrow T^*$. Porém, isto não pode ocorrer desde que, deste modo, $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$ poderia ser estendida além de $t = T^*$.

Inicialmente, iremos encontrar uma estimativa para a norma- L^2 de $(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})$. Como podemos escrever a derivada de tal norma da forma

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2, \quad (3.4)$$

então vamos em busca de estimativas para cada uma das parcelas do lado direito da igualdade acima, e dessa forma conseguiremos estimar $\|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2$. Assim, observe que pela primeira equação do sistema (1), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 &= (\nabla_h \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{u})_2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 (\partial_i \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 (\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \partial_i^2 \mathbf{u})_2 = -(\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &= -(\mu + \chi)(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &\quad + (\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b}|^2), \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2. \end{aligned}$$

Mas, por integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 (\partial_i^2 \mathbf{u}, \partial_j^2 \mathbf{u})_2 = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 (\partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \partial_j^2 \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 (\partial_j \partial_i \mathbf{u}, \partial_i \partial_j \mathbf{u})_2 = \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

e, além disso

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_i(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \Delta_h u_i)_2 \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^3 (p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2, \Delta_h \partial_i u_i)_2 \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Logo,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2. \quad (3.6)$$

Agora, veja que pela segunda equação do sistema (1), temos

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 &= (\nabla_h \partial_t \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w})_2 \\
&= -(\partial_t \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 \\
&= -\gamma (\Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 - \kappa (\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 + 2\chi (\mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 \\
&\quad - \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Permita-nos avaliar alguns dos termos do lado direito das igualdades acima. Assim sendo, por integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_i (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \Delta_h w_i)_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 (\partial_i (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \partial_j^2 w_i)_2 \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 (\partial_j \partial_i (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \partial_j w_i)_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 (\partial_j (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \partial_j \partial_i w_i)_2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 (\partial_j (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \partial_j (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}))_2 = \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2. \quad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Além disso,

$$(\mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 = \sum_{j=1}^2 (\mathbf{w}, \partial_j^2 \mathbf{w})_2 = - \sum_{j=1}^2 (\partial_j \mathbf{w}, \partial_j \mathbf{w})_2 = - \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2.$$

Analogamente a (3.5), temos que

$$(\Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 = \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2.$$

Desta forma, obtemos

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 - \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2. \quad (3.8)$$

E, veja ainda que pela terceira equação do sistema (1), podemos escrever

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 &= (\nabla_h \partial_t \mathbf{b}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})_2 \\ &= -(\partial_t \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 \\ &= -\nu (\Delta \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2, \end{aligned}$$

ou seja,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2. \quad (3.9)$$

Desta forma, substituindo (3.6), (3.8) e (3.9) em (3.4), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 \\ &+ 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &- \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Neste momento, vamos em busca de relações para todas as parcelas do lado direito da igualdade acima. Primeiramente, observe que

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) \Delta_h u_j \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) \Delta_h u_j \, dx + \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 u_j) \Delta_h u_j \, dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_3) \Delta_h u_3 \, dx \\ &=: M_1 + M_2 + M_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

A partir de agora, buscaremos estimativas para M_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$). Primeiramente vamos provar a seguinte afirmação:

Afirmacão 3.1. A seguinte igualdade é válida:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i u_j) \Delta_h u_j \, dx &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_j)^2 (\partial_3 u_3) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1)(\partial_2 u_2)(\partial_3 u_3) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_2)(\partial_2 u_1)(\partial_3 u_3) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Com efeito, observe que, por integração por partes, podemos escrever

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i u_j) \Delta_h u_j \, dx &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k^2 u_j) \, dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k u_j) \, dx - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_k \partial_i u_j)(\partial_k u_j) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Mas, por integração por partes novamente, encontramos

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_k \partial_i u_j)(\partial_k u_j) \, dx = - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i \partial_k u_j)(\partial_k u_j) \, dx,$$

ou equivalentemente,

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_k \partial_i u_j)(\partial_k u_j) \, dx = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx.$$

Assim sendo, conclui-se

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i u_j) \Delta_h u_j \, dx = - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k u_j) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx.$$

Como \mathbf{u} é livre de divergente, então

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx.$$

Agora note que

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1)^3 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1)^2 (\partial_2 u_2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_2)^3 dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_2)^2 (\partial_1 u_1) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1)(\partial_1 u_2)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_2)^2 (\partial_2 u_2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_1)^2 (\partial_1 u_1) dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_2)(\partial_2 u_1)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1)^2 (\partial_2 u_2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_2)^2 (\partial_1 u_1) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_2)(\partial_2 u_1)(\partial_1 u_1) dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_1)(\partial_1 u_2)(\partial_2 u_2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequentemente, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx = \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k u_j)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_1 u_1)(\partial_2 u_2) dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_1 u_2)(\partial_2 u_1) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i u_j) \Delta_h u_j dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k u_j)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_1 u_1)(\partial_2 u_2) dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_1 u_2)(\partial_2 u_1) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Isto finaliza a prova da Afirmação 3.1.

Note que, pela afirmação acima, temos que

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k u_j)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1)(\partial_2 u_2)(\partial_3 u_3) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_1)(\partial_1 u_2)(\partial_3 u_3) dx. \quad (3.12)$$

Para M_2 e M_3 usaremos a integração por partes em ordem a obter

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 &:= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 u_j) \Delta_h u_j dx \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k^2 u_j) dx \\
&= - \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_3)(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx - \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_k \partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Por outro lado, observe que

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_k \partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx = - \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k u_j)^2 dx - \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_k \partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx.$$

Assim, podemos inferir

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_k \partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k u_j)^2 dx.$$

Logo,

$$M_2 = - \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_3)(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k u_j)^2 dx. \quad (3.13)$$

Além disso, é fácil concluir que

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 &:= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i u_3) \Delta_h u_3 dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i u_3)(\partial_j^2 u_3) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_j u_i)(\partial_i u_3)(\partial_j u_3) dx - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_j \partial_i u_3)(\partial_j u_3) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Por outro lado, pode-se inferir

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_j \partial_i u_3)(\partial_j u_3) dx = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_j u_3)^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_j \partial_i u_3)(\partial_j u_3) dx.$$

Com isso,

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_j \partial_i u_3)(\partial_j u_3) dx = 0,$$

pois \mathbf{u} é livre de divergente. Logo,

$$M_3 = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_j u_i)(\partial_i u_3)(\partial_j u_3) dx. \quad (3.14)$$

Substituindo (3.12), (3.13) e (3.14) em (3.11), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 &= \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k u_j)^2 dx - \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_3)(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_j u_i)(\partial_i u_3)(\partial_j u_3) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1)(\partial_2 u_2)(\partial_3 u_3) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_1)(\partial_1 u_2)(\partial_3 u_3) dx \\
&\leq \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_3 u_3| |\partial_k u_j|^2 dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_k u_3| |\partial_3 u_j| |\partial_k u_j| dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_j u_i| |\partial_i u_3| |\partial_j u_3| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_1 u_1| |\partial_2 u_2| |\partial_3 u_3| dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_2 u_1| |\partial_1 u_2| |\partial_3 u_3| dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Por conseguinte,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_3| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h u_3| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h u_3| |\nabla u_3| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_3| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Agora, observe que

$$\begin{aligned}
-(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k^2 u_j) dx \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i) (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_k \partial_i b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_k \partial_i b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Além disso, podemos escrever

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i w_j) (\partial_k^2 w_j) dx \\
&= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Mas, como \mathbf{u} é livre de divergente, temos

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) (\partial_k w_j)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_k w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_k w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequentemente, infere-se

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx = 0.$$

Assim, é verdade que

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 = - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{w}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx.$$

Analogamente, conseguimos a seguinte desigualdade:

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx,$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Observe ainda que,

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k^2 b_j) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i) (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Porém, a última integral acima pode ser trabalhada da seguinte forma:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i b_i) (\partial_k b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i \partial_k b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i \partial_k b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx, \end{aligned}$$

já que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$. Assim sendo, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k b_j) dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i(\partial_i \partial_k b_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i(\partial_i \partial_k b_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Analogamente ao feito em (2.54), temos que

$$-\chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 = 2\chi(\nabla \times (\nabla_h \mathbf{u}), \nabla_h \mathbf{w})_2 \leq \chi \|\nabla_h \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Desta forma, voltando para (3.10), temos

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_3| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{w}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Logo, pelo Lema 3.1, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})| dx \\ &\leq C \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Pela Desigualdade de Young, $p = 4$ e $q = \frac{4}{3}$, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^4 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

onde $\alpha = \min\{\mu, \gamma, \nu\}$. Assim, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^4 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2 \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrando a desigualdade acima sobre $[T^* - \tau, t]$, onde τ será escolhido mais adiante, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + \alpha \int_{T^* - \tau}^t \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds + 2\kappa \int_{T^* - \tau}^t \|\nabla_h \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \\ & + 2\chi \int_{T^* - \tau}^t \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C + C \int_{T^* - \tau}^t \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^4 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2 \\ & \times \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Definamos

$$\mathcal{I}(t) := \sup_{T^* - \tau \leq s \leq t} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2 + \left(\int_{T^* - \tau}^t \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

e também

$$\mathcal{J}(t) := \sup_{T^* - \tau \leq s \leq t} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2 + \left(\int_{T^* - \tau}^t \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Assim sendo, concluímos que

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^2(t) & \leq 2 \sup_{T^* - \tau \leq s \leq t} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 + 2 \int_{T^* - \tau}^t \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq C + C \int_{T^* - \tau}^t \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^4 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2 \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2 ds \\ & \leq C + C \mathcal{J}^{\frac{3}{4}}(t) \mathcal{I}(t) \int_{T^* - \tau}^t \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^4 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^{\frac{1}{4}} ds \\ & \leq C + C \mathcal{J}^{\frac{3}{4}}(t) \mathcal{I}(t) \int_0^T [\|(\nabla u_3, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^{\frac{32}{7}} + \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2] ds, \end{aligned}$$

onde nesta última desigualdade foi usada a Desigualdade de Young, com $p = \frac{8}{7}$ e $q = 8$. Note que, pela hipótese (3.3), (1.1) encontra-se

$$\mathcal{I}^2(t) \leq C + C \mathcal{J}^{\frac{3}{2}}(t),$$

basta aplicar a Desigualdade de Young novamente. Logo,

$$\mathcal{I}(t) \leq C + C \mathcal{J}^{\frac{3}{4}}(t). \quad (3.16)$$

Agora, estamos em condições de estimar $\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2$. Inicialmente, vejamos que

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2.$$

Desta forma, para alcançar o nosso objetivo, iremos estudar cada parcela do lado direito da igualdade acima. Observe que, pela primeira equação do sistema (1), temos

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 &= (\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &= -(\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &= -(\mu + \chi)(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 \\ &\quad + (\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2), \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2. \end{aligned}$$

É fácil ver novamente que o termo que envolve a pressão é nulo. De fato, podemos escrever

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2, \Delta \mathbf{u}))_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_i(p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2, \Delta u_i)_2) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 ((p + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{b}|^2, \Delta \partial_i u_i)_2) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Assim,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2. \quad (3.17)$$

Agora, note que pela segunda equação do sistema (1), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 &= (\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &= -(\partial_t \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &= -\gamma(\Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 - \kappa(\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 + 2\chi(\mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &\quad - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2. \end{aligned}$$

Veja que, analogamente a (3.7), temos

$$\begin{aligned}
-(\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 &= -\sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_i(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \Delta w_i)_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}, \Delta(\partial_i w_i))_2 \\
&= (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}, \Delta(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}))_2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}, \partial_j^2(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}))_2 \\
&= -\sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \partial_j(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}))_2 = -(\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}), \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}))_2 \\
&= -\|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

e, por integração por partes, obtemos

$$(\mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\mathbf{w}, \partial_i^2 \mathbf{w}) = -\sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_i \mathbf{w}, \partial_i \mathbf{w}) = -(\nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{w}) = -\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2.$$

Assim, chegamos a seguinte igualdade:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2. \quad (3.18)$$

Por fim, veja que pela terceira equação do sistema (1), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 &= (\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{b}, \nabla \mathbf{b})_2 \\
&= -(\partial_t \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 \\
&= -\nu(\Delta \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2,
\end{aligned}$$

ou seja,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2. \quad (3.19)$$

Logo, somando (3.17), (3.18) e (3.19), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
&= (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 \\
&\quad + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2.
\end{aligned}$$

A partir de agora, iremos estudar as parcelas do lado direito da desigualdade acima. Observe que,

$$\begin{aligned}
((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) \Delta u_j \, dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 u_j) \Delta_h u_j \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) \Delta u_j \, dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 u_j) (\partial_3^2 u_j) \, dx \\
&=: K_1 + K_2 + K_3.
\end{aligned}$$

A fim de estimar o produto interno do lado esquerdo da desigualdade acima, iremos explorar K_j para cada $j = 1, 2, 3$. Veja que, por integração por partes, temos

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &:= \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 u_j) \Delta_h u_j \, dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 u_j) (\partial_k^2 u_j) \, dx \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_3) (\partial_3 u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_k \partial_3 u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Porém, também por integração por partes, temos

$$\begin{aligned}
- \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_k \partial_3 u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3) (\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 \partial_k u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Assim, podemos escrever

$$- \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_k \partial_3 u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3) (\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx. \quad (3.20)$$

Logo, encontramos a seguinte expressão para K_1 :

$$K_1 = - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_3) (\partial_3 u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3) (\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx. \quad (3.21)$$

Agora, vejamos que

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) \Delta u_j \, dx \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k^2 u_j) \, dx \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

De forma análoga a (3.20), obtemos

$$-\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) (\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx.$$

Assim,

$$K_2 = - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k u_j) \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) (\partial_k u_j)^2 \, dx. \quad (3.22)$$

E, por fim, observe que, analogamente a (3.20), chegamos a

$$K_3 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 u_j) (\partial_3^2 u_j) \, dx = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3) (\partial_3 u_j)^2 \, dx.$$

Como $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, ou seja, $\partial_1 u_1 + \partial_2 u_2 + \partial_3 u_3 = 0$, então $\partial_3 u_3 = -\partial_1 u_1 - \partial_2 u_2$. Com isso,

$$K_3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1 + \partial_2 u_2) (\partial_3 u_j)^2 \, dx. \quad (3.23)$$

Logo, combinando (3.21)-(3.23), obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} \, dx &\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_k u_3| |\partial_3 u_j| |\partial_k u_j| \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_3 u_3| |\partial_k u_j|^2 \, dx \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_k u_i| |\partial_i u_j| |\partial_k u_j| \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_i u_i| |\partial_k u_j|^2 \, dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_1 u_1| |\partial_3 u_j|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_2 u_2| |\partial_3 u_j|^2 \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx.$$

Agora, vejamos que

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i w_j)(\partial_k^2 w_j) dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 w_j)(\partial_k^2 w_j) dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i w_j)(\partial_k^2 w_j) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 w_j)(\partial_3^2 w_j) dx \\ &=: R_1 + R_2 + R_3. \end{aligned}$$

Assim, com o intuito de estimar o produto interno acima, iremos estudar R_j com $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Vejamos que, por integração por partes, temos

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &:= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 w_j)(\partial_k^2 w_j) dx \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_3)(\partial_3 w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_k \partial_3 w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Mas, é fácil notar que

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_k \partial_3 w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k w_j)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 \partial_k w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Desta forma,

$$- \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_k \partial_3 w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k w_j)^2 dx.$$

Logo,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1 &= - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_3)(\partial_3 w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k w_j)^2 dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h u_3| |\nabla \mathbf{w}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{w}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_3| |\nabla_h \mathbf{w}|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Agora, note que

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i w_j)(\partial_k^2 w_j) dx \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_k \partial_i w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Mas, por outro lado, temos que

$$\begin{aligned}
-\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_k \partial_i w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_k w_j)^2 dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i \partial_k w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Com isso,

$$-\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_k \partial_i w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_k w_j)^2 dx.$$

Logo,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i)(\partial_k w_j)^2 dx \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{w}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

E, por fim, note que

$$\begin{aligned}
R_3 &:= \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 w_j)(\partial_3^2 w_j) dx \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_3 w_j)^2 dx - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 w_j)(\partial_3^2 w_j) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Como $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, ou seja, $\partial_1 u_1 + \partial_2 u_2 + \partial_3 u_3 = 0$, então $\partial_3 u_3 = -\partial_1 u_1 - \partial_2 u_2$. Desta forma,

$$R_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_3 w_j)^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_k)(\partial_3 w_j)^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dx. \quad (3.26)$$

Assim, juntando (3.24)-(3.26), obtemos

$$((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{w}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{w}|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 dx.$$

Analogamente, podemos encontrar

$$((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}|^2 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx.$$

Observe que,

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k^2 u_j) dx - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k^2 b_j) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i) (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_k \partial_i b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i) (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Portanto, usando o fato que \mathbf{b} é livre de divergente, encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i) (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k u_j) dx - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i \partial_k u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i) (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_j) (\partial_k b_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequentemente,

$$\begin{aligned}
-(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{b})_2 &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i)(\partial_i b_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k b_j) dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_3)(\partial_3 b_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_3)(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k b_j) dx \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i)(\partial_i b_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k b_j) dx \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 b_3)(\partial_3 b_j)(\partial_3 u_j) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 b_3)(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_3 b_j) dx \right] \\
&=: P_1 + P_2 + P_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Com o intuito de conseguir uma estimativa para a diferença apresentada no lado esquerdo da igualdade acima, iremos analisar P_j para $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Vejamos que, por integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 &:= \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_3)(\partial_3 b_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_3)(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k b_j) dx \right] \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| dx. \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Além disso,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2 &:= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i)(\partial_i b_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_i)(\partial_i u_j)(\partial_k b_j) dx \right] \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| dx \tag{3.28}
\end{aligned}$$

e também, pelo fato que $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3 &:= \sum_{j=1}^3 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 b_3)(\partial_3 b_j)(\partial_3 u_j) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 b_3)(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_3 b_j) dx \right] \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_k)(\partial_3 b_j)(\partial_3 u_j) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k b_k)(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_3 b_j) dx \right] \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| dx. \tag{3.29}
\end{aligned}$$

Logo, combinando (3.27)-(3.29), temos

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta \mathbf{u}) - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{b}) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| dx \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla_h \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Vimos em (2.54) que

$$\begin{aligned} -\chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 &\leq -2\chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w})_2 = 2\chi(\nabla \times (\nabla \mathbf{u}), \nabla \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &\leq \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Desta forma, pelo Lema 3.1, temos que

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \mu \|\Delta \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\Delta \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Por conseguinte, podemos escrever

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\alpha \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

onde $\alpha = \min\{\mu, \gamma, \nu\}$. Integrando a desigualdade acima sobre $[T^* - \tau, s]$, onde $s \leq t$, e utilizando a desigualdade de Hölder obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 + 2\alpha \int_{T^* - \tau}^s \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau + 2\kappa \int_{T^* - \tau}^s \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \\ &+ 2\chi \int_{T^* - \tau}^s \|\nabla \mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \leq C + C\mathcal{I}(t) \left(\int_{T^* - \tau}^s \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\times \left(\int_{T^* - \tau}^s \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{T^* - \tau}^s \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq C + C\mathcal{J}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\mathcal{I}^2(t) \left(\int_{T^* - \tau}^s \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizando a Desigualdade de Young, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}^2(t) &\leq C + C\mathcal{I}^2(t)\mathcal{J}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \left(\int_{T^*-\tau}^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq C + \mathcal{I}^{\frac{8}{3}}(t) \left(\int_{T^*-\tau}^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}^2(t).\end{aligned}$$

Assim, infere-se, por (3.16), que

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}(t) &\leq C + \mathcal{I}^{\frac{4}{3}}(t) \left(\int_{T^*-\tau}^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \\ &\leq C + C(C + C\mathcal{J}^{\frac{3}{4}}(t))^{\frac{4}{3}} \left(\int_{T^*-\tau}^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \\ &\leq C + (C + C\mathcal{J}(t)) \left(\int_{T^*-\tau}^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{6}}.\end{aligned}$$

Por utilizar (1.1) e considerar $0 < \tau \ll 1$ tal que

$$\left(\int_{T^*-\tau}^{T^*} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} < \frac{1}{2C},$$

obtemos

$$\mathcal{J}(t) \leq C + (C + C\mathcal{J}(t)) \frac{1}{2C} \leq C + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}(t), \quad \forall T^* - \tau \leq t < T^*.$$

Com isso, chegamos a

$$\mathcal{J}(t) \leq C.$$

Logo,

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq C, \quad \forall T^* - \tau \leq t < T^*.$$

Isto é um absurdo (ver desigualdade de Leray em [3]). E isto conclui a prova do teorema. \square

3.2 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo $(\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$

Nesta seção, nosso intuito é exibir mais um critério de regularidade para uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ do sistema de equações magneto-micropolar (1). Tal critério é uma extensão do

resultado envolvendo uma solução fraca $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ das equações de Navier-Stokes (3), o qual garante a suavidade de $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ em $(0, T)$, quando adotamos a seguinte hipótese:

$$\partial_3 u_3(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

(Para mais detalhes ver [74]). Mais precisamente, provaremos a mesma implicação para $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$, quando assumirmos que

$$(\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Em ordem a obtermos êxito, provaremos a seguir um lema que desempenha papel importante na demonstração do resultado principal desta seção.

Lema 3.2 (ver [74]). *Sejam $f \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ tais que $\partial_3 f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\nabla_h g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Então, vale a seguinte desigualdade:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(x)]^2 [g(x)]^2 dx \leq 2\sqrt{2} \|f\|_6^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_3 f\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_2 \|\nabla_h g\|_2. \quad (3.30)$$

Demonstração. Suponha, sem perda de generalidade, que $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Primeiramente, vamos provar que a seguinte desigualdade é válida:

$$\|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_4^4 \leq 2\|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^2 \|\nabla_h g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^2. \quad (3.31)$$

Com efeito, observe que, pelo Teorema Fundamental do Cálculo, chegamos a

$$g^2(x) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{x_k} g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \partial_k g(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_k, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Assim sendo, temos que

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x_k \in \mathbb{R}} g^2(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= 2 \max_{x_k \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_k} g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \partial_k g(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_k \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \partial_k g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_k, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

onde $k = 1, 2$. Desta forma, por (3.32) e pela Desigualdade de Hölder, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g^4(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\max_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} g^2(x_1, x_2, x_3)][\max_{x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} g^2(x_1, x_2, x_3)] dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \max_{x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} g^2(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \max_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}} g^2(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_2 \right) \\
&\leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \partial_2 g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_2 \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \partial_1 g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_1 dx_2 \\
&\leq 4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_1 g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_2 g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Portanto, pela Desigualdade de Young, infere-se que

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g^4(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 &\leq 4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_1 g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_2 g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_1 g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_2 g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right] \\
&\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_h g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

E isto conclui a prova da desigualdade (3.31). Por usar a Desigualdades de Hölder e (3.31), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^2 g^2 dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_4^2 \|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_4^2 dx_3 \\
&\leq \sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_4^2 \|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2 \|\nabla_h g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2 dx_3 \\
&\leq \sqrt{2} \max_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_4^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|\nabla_h g(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_2^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Dessa forma, chegamos a

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^2 g^2 dx \leq \sqrt{2} \max_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_4^2 \|g\|_2 \|\nabla_h g\|_2.$$

Agora, vamos estimar o máximo encontrado no lado direito da desigualdade acima. Primieramente,

note que

$$4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{-\infty}^{x_3} (f^3 \partial_3 f)(x_1, x_2, s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{-\infty}^{x_3} \partial_3(f^4)(x_1, x_2, s) dx_1 dx_2 ds = \|f(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_4^4,$$

onde usamos o Teorema Fundamental do Cálculo e o fato de $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Consequentemente, encontramos

$$\max_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(\cdot, \cdot, x_3)\|_4^2 \leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^3 |\partial_3 f| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Logo, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, temos

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^2 g^2 dx &\leq 2\sqrt{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^3 |\partial_3 f| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_2 \|\nabla_h g\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_3 f|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|g\|_2 \|\nabla_h g\|_2 \\ &= 2\sqrt{2} \|f\|_6^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\partial_3 f\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_2 \|\nabla_h g\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Isto prova a desigualdade (3.30). \square

Agora, permita-nos enunciar o resultado principal desta seção.

Teorema 3.2. *Sejam $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ com $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$. Assuma que $T > 0$. Considere que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ é uma solução fraca das equações magneto-micropolares (1) em $[0, T]$ com condição inicial $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$. Se*

$$(\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (3.33)$$

então $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$.

Demonstração. A mesma discussão estabelecida no início da demonstração do Teorema 3.1 será considerada aqui. Assim sendo, vimos na demonstração do Teorema 3.1, em (3.10), que

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + (\mu + \chi) \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla_h(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 \\ &+ 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 \\ &- \chi (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2. \end{aligned}$$

Agora, iremos analisar cada parcela do lado direito da igualdade anterior. Afirmamos que o primeiro

termo satisfaz a desigualdade a seguir:

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_3| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx.$$

Com efeito, já vimos na demonstração do Teorema 3.1, em (3.11), que

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_j) \Delta_h u_j dx + \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 u_j) \Delta_h u_j dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_3) \Delta_h u_3 dx.$$

Assim, pela Afirmação 3.1 e por integração por partes, temos que

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3) (\partial_k u_j)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1) (\partial_2 u_2) (\partial_3 u_3) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_1) (\partial_1 u_2) (\partial_3 u_3) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 u_j) (\partial_k^2 u_j) dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i u_3) (\partial_k^2 u_3) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3) (\partial_k u_j)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1) (\partial_2 u_2) (\partial_3 u_3) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_1) (\partial_1 u_2) (\partial_3 u_3) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3 (\partial_3 u_j) (\partial_k^2 u_j) dx - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i u_3) (\partial_k u_3) dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_3) (\partial_k u_3) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe que, também por integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_3) (\partial_k u_3) dx &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) (\partial_k u_3)^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_k u_3) (\partial_k u_3) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_k u_3) (\partial_k u_3) dx, \end{aligned}$$

pois \mathbf{u} é livre de divergente. Consequentemente,

$$- \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i u_3) (\partial_k u_3) dx = 0.$$

Assim, por integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 u_3)(\partial_k u_j)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1)(\partial_2 u_2)(\partial_3 u_3) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_1)(\partial_1 u_2)(\partial_3 u_3) dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k^2 u_j) dx \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i u_3)(\partial_k u_3) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

e consequentemente,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 &= - \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 \partial_k u_j)(\partial_k u_j) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \partial_1 u_1)(\partial_2 u_2) u_3 dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_1 u_1)(\partial_3 \partial_2 u_2) u_3 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_3 \partial_2 u_1)(\partial_1 u_2) u_3 dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_2 u_1)(\partial_3 \partial_1 u_2) u_3 dx + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_3(\partial_3 u_j)(\partial_k^2 u_j) dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k^2 u_i)(\partial_i u_3) u_3 dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_k \partial_i u_3) u_3 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Deste modo, podemos escrever

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_3| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx.$$

Observemos, agora, que

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_i w_j)(\partial_k^2 w_j) dx \\
&= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i(\partial_k \partial_i w_j)(\partial_k w_j) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Mas, por integração por partes, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i u_i) (\partial_k w_j)^2 dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_i \partial_k w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Com isso, chegamos a

$$- \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i (\partial_k \partial_i w_j) (\partial_k w_j) dx = 0,$$

pois $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Assim, podemos escrever

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i w_j) \partial_k w_j dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_i \partial_k u_i) (\partial_k w_j) w_j dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i \partial_k w_j) w_j dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i \partial_k w_j) w_j dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{w}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}| dx, \end{aligned}$$

já que \mathbf{u} é livre de divergente. Analogamente, obtemos

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b}| dx.$$

Note também que

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{b})_2 &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i b_j) (\partial_k^2 u_j) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_i (\partial_i u_j) (\partial_k^2 b_j) dx \right] \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| |\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}| dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b}| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Por fim, é verdade que

$$-\chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u})_2 - \chi(\nabla \times \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \mathbf{w})_2 \leq \chi \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2,$$

ver (3.15). Por conseguinte, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\alpha \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(u_3, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})| dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

onde $\alpha = \min\{\mu, \gamma, \nu\}$. Pela Desigualdade de Young, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(u_3, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})|^2 |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Assim, pelo Lema 3.2 e Lema 2.1, e por usar a hipótese (3.33), encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(u_3, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})|^2 |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \|(u_3, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})\|_6^{\frac{3}{2}} \|(\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \\ & \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\partial_3 u_3, \partial_3 \mathbf{w}, \partial_3 \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \\ & \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Desta forma, por aplicar a Desigualdade de Young, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Assim, pelo Lema de Gronwall, inferimos

$$\|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \delta)\|_2^2 e^{\int_{\delta}^T \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds}, \quad \forall t \in [\delta, T^*].$$

Por (1.1), temos que

$$\|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [\delta, T^*]. \quad (3.35)$$

Já vimos, também na demonstração do Teorema 3.1, que

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Assim, por (3.35) e pelas Desigualdades de Hölder, Gagliardo-Nirenberg e Young, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_4^2 \\
& \leq C \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \leq C \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequentemente, obtemos

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \leq C \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2.$$

Logo, pelo Lema de Gronwall, temos que

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 \leq \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \delta)\|_2^2 e^{C \int_{\delta}^T ds}, \quad \forall \delta \leq t < T^*.$$

Isto é uma contradição (ver desigualdade de Leray em [3]). Isto finaliza a prova do Teorema 3.2. \square

3.3 Critério de Regularidade Envolvendo $(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$

Nesta seção, estenderemos um critério de regularidade estabelecido para uma solução fraca $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$ das equações de Navier-Stokes (3), o qual garante a suavidade desta solução em $[0, T]$, quando adota-se a seguinte hipótese:

$$\nabla u_3(\cdot, t) \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{4q}, \quad \frac{3}{2} < q < 3.$$

(Para mais detalhes ver [68]). Nesta dissertação, conseguimos um resultado semelhante para uma solução fraca $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ das equações magneto-micropolares (1), quando assumimos que

$$(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)); \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{q}, \quad \frac{3}{2} < q < 3.$$

Primeiramente, provaremos uma desigualdade que será útil na prova do resultado principal desta seção.

Lema 3.3 (ver [68]). *Sejam $\frac{3}{2} < q < 3$ e $f, g \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Então a seguinte desigualdade é verdadeira:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [f(x)]^2 [g(x)]^2 dx \leq C \|\nabla f\|_q^2 \|g\|_2^{\frac{2(2q-3)}{q}} \|\nabla_h g\|_2^{\frac{2(3-q)}{q}},$$

onde C é uma constante positiva que depende somente de q .

Demonstração. Note que, pela Desigualdade de Hölder, temos

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^2 g^2 dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^{\frac{2q}{3-q}} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{3-q}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g|^{\frac{2q}{2q-3}} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{2q-3}{q}} dx_3 \\ &\leq \max_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{\frac{2q}{3-q}} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{3-q}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g|^{\frac{2q}{2q-3}} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{2q-3}{q}} dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

Vamos agora estimar o máximo encontrado do lado direito da desigualdade acima. Assim sendo, pelo Teorema Fundamental do Cálculo, temos

$$f^{\frac{2q}{3-q}}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_3} \partial_3 f^{\frac{2q}{3-q}}(x_1, x_2, s) ds \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{\frac{3(q-1)}{3-q}} |\partial_3 f(x_1, x_2, x_3)| dx_3.$$

Consequentemente,

$$\max_{x_3 \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2, x_3)|^{\frac{2q}{3-q}} dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{3-q}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^{\frac{3(q-1)}{3-q}} |\partial_3 f| dx \right)^{\frac{3-q}{q}}.$$

Aplicando a Desigualdade de Gagliardo-Nirenberg

$$\|v\|_{\frac{2q}{2q-3}} \leq C \|v\|_2^{\frac{2q-3}{q}} \|\nabla_h v\|_2^{\frac{3-q}{q}},$$

e a Desigualdade de Hölder, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^2 g^2 dx &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f|^{\frac{3(q-1)}{3-q}} |\partial_3 f| dx \right)^{\frac{3-q}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{2q-3}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla_h g|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{3-q}{q}} dx_3 \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\frac{3q}{3-q}}^{\frac{3(q-1)}{q}} \|\partial_3 f\|_q^{\frac{3-q}{q}} \|g\|_2^{\frac{2(2q-3)}{q}} \|\nabla_h g\|_2^{\frac{2(3-q)}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Por fim, por aplicar o Lema 2.1, encontramos

$$\|f\|_{\frac{3q}{3-q}}^{\frac{3(q-1)}{q}} \leq C \|\partial_1 f\|_q^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|\partial_2 f\|_q^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|\partial_3 f\|_q^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$

Isto completa a prova do lema em questão. \square

Agora estamos prontos para enunciar o resultado principal desta seção.

Teorema 3.3. *Seja $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ com $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 = 0$ e $T > 0$. Assuma que $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)$ é uma solução fraca das equações magneto-micropolares (1) em $[0, T]$ com condição*

inicial $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$. Se

$$(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)); \quad \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{q}, \quad \frac{3}{2} < q < 3, \quad (3.36)$$

então a solução $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ é suave em $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$.

Demonstração. A mesma discussão estabelecida no início da demonstração do Teorema 3.1 será considerada aqui. Assim sendo, como $(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ (ver definição de solução fraca), então existe $\Gamma \in [t_0, T^*)$ tal que

$$\|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \Gamma)\|_2 \leq C \quad e \quad \int_{\Gamma}^{T^*} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2 dt < \delta, \quad (3.37)$$

onde $\delta > 0$ será estabelecido a seguir.

Relembrando a desigualdade (3.34), concluímos que

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\alpha \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(u_3, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})| dx, \end{aligned}$$

onde $\alpha = \min\{\mu, \nu, \gamma\}$. Consequentemente, pela Desigualdade de Young, temos

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\alpha \|\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(u_3, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})|^2 |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})|^2 dx + \alpha \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Portanto, pelo Lema 3.3, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_q^2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{2(2q-3)}{q}} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{2(3-q)}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Com isso, pela Desigualdade de Young, encontramos

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_q^{\frac{2q}{2q-3}} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Assim sendo, podemos escrever

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_q^{\frac{2q}{2q-3}} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrando sobre $[\Gamma, t]$, onde $t < T^*$, a desigualdade acima, chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds + 2\kappa \int_{\Gamma}^t \|\nabla_h (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \\ & + 2\chi \int_{\Gamma}^t \|\nabla_h \mathbf{w}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C + C \int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_q^{\frac{2q}{2q-3}} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Definamos,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}^2(t) = \sup_{\Gamma \leq \tau \leq t} \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 + \int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau$$

e também

$$\mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}^2(t) = \sup_{\Gamma \leq \tau \leq t} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 + \int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})(\cdot, \tau)\|_2^2 d\tau.$$

Assim, pela hipótese (3.36) e por (1.1), temos

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}^2(t) & \leq C + C \mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) \left(\int_0^T \|(\nabla u_3, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_q^{\frac{8q}{3(2q-3)}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_0^T \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ & \leq C + C \mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}^{\frac{3}{2}}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Por conseguinte,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}^2(t) \leq C + C \mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}^{\frac{3}{2}}(t), \quad \forall \Gamma \leq t < T^*. \quad (3.38)$$

Já vimos, na demonstração do Teorema 3.1, que

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})| |(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_4^2, \end{aligned}$$

onde na última passagem utilizamos a Desigualdade de Hölder. Assim, pela Desigualdade de

Interpolação, pelo Lema 2.1 e a Desigualdade de Young, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + \chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_6^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2 \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{4}{3}} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{4}{3}} \\
& \quad + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Assim, podemos inferir que

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + \alpha \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 + 2\kappa \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})\|_2^2 + 2\chi \|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq C \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{4}{3}} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^{\frac{4}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrando sobre $[\Gamma, t]$, onde $t < T^*$, a desigualdade acima, obtemos

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + \alpha \int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\Delta \mathbf{u}, \Delta \mathbf{w}, \Delta \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds + 2\kappa \int_{\Gamma}^t \|\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \\
& + 2\chi \int_{\Gamma}^t \|\nabla \mathbf{w}(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds \leq C + C \int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^{\frac{4}{3}} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \times \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^{\frac{4}{3}} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Desta forma, pela Desigualdade de Hölder e (3.38), chegamos a

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}^2(t) & \leq C + C \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}^{\frac{4}{3}}(t) \left(\int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{u}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{w}, \nabla \nabla_h \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 ds \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \leq C + C \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}^{\frac{8}{3}}(t) \left(\int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
& \leq C + C [C + C \mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}^{\frac{3}{4}}(t)]^{\frac{8}{3}} \left(\int_{\Gamma}^t \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\
& \leq C + [C + C \mathcal{J}_{\Gamma}^2(t)] \left(\int_{\Gamma}^{T^*} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})\|_2^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Por (3.37), temos que

$$\int_{\Gamma}^{T^*} \|(\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w}, \nabla \mathbf{b})(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 ds < \delta,$$

onde $\delta = \frac{1}{8C^3}$. Logo,

$$\mathcal{J}_\Gamma^2(t) \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [\Gamma, T^*).$$

E isto conclui a prova desse teorema. □

Referências Bibliográficas

- [1] Y. BAOQUAN, *Regularity of weak solutions to magneto-micropolar fluid equations*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 30B(5) (2010), 1469-1480.
- [2] H. BEIRÃO DA VEIGA, *A new regularity class for the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n* , Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 16 (1995), no. 4, 407-412.
- [3] P. BRAZ E SILVA, W. G. MELO, P. R. ZINGANO, *Lower bounds on blow-up of solutions for Magneto-micropolar fluid systems in homogeneous Sobolev spaces*, preprint (2015).
- [4] E. CAFLISH R, I. KLAPPER, G. STEEL, *Remarks on singularities, dimension and energy dissipation for ideal hydrodynamics and MHD*, Comm Math Phys, 184 (1997), 443-455.
- [5] M. CANNONE, C. X. MIAO, N. PRIOUX, B. Q. YUAN, *The Cauchy problem for the Magneto-hydrodynamic system*, Banach Center Publications, 74 (2006), 59-93.
- [6] C. S. CAO, *Sufficient conditions for the regularity to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 26 (2010), no. 4, 1141-1151.
- [7] C. S. CAO, J. L. QIN, E. S. TITI, *Regularity criterion for solutions of three-dimensional turbulent channel flows*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 33 (2008) 419-428.
- [8] C. S. CAO, E. S. TITI, *Global regularity criterion for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations involving one entry of the velocity gradient tensor*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 202 (2011), no. 3, 919-932.
- [9] C. S. CAO, E. S. TITI, *Regularity criteria for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (2008), no. 6, 2643-2661.
- [10] C. CAO, J. WU, *Two regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations*, J. Differ. Equ. 248 (2010), 2263-2274.

- [11] J. Y. CHEMIN, P. ZHANG, *On the critical one component regularity for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, arXiv:1310.6442 [math.AP], 24 Oct 2013.
- [12] Q. L. CHEN, C. X. MIAO, Z. F. ZHANG, *The Beale-Kato-Majda criterioa for the 3D magneto-hydrodynamics equations*, Comm Math Phys, 275 (2007), 861-872.
- [13] P. CONSTANTIN, C. FOIAS, *Navier-Stokes equations*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics.(1988).
- [14] G. DUVANT, J. L. LIONS, *Inequations en thermoelasticite et magnetohydrodynamique*, Arch Ration Mech Anal, 46 (1972), 241-279.
- [15] L. ESCAURIAZA, G.A. SERËGIN, V.ŠVERÁK, *$L_{3,\infty}$ -solutions of Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness*, Russian Math. Surveys 58 (2003), no. 2, 211-250.
- [16] L. C. EVANS, *Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, Second Edition, 2010, pp. 749.
- [17] J. S. FAN, S. JIANG, G. NAKAMURA, Y. ZHOU, *Logarithmically improved regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes and MHD equations*, J.Math. Fluid Mech. 13 (2011), 557-571.
- [18] J. S. FAN, S. JIANG, G. NAKAMURA, Y. ZHOU, *On regularity criteria for the n -dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in terms of the pressure*, J.Differential Equations 244 (2008), 2963-2979.
- [19] D. Y. FANG, C. Y. QIAN, *The regularity criterion for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations involving one velocity gradient component*, Nonlinear Anal. 78 (2013) 86-103.
- [20] G.B. FOLLAND, *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Wiley-Interscience Series of Texts, Monographs, and Tracts. Second Edition, 1999, pp. 408.
- [21] S. GALA, *Extension criterion on regularity for weak solutions to the 3D MHD equations*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 33 (2010), 1496-1503.
- [22] S. GALA, *Remarks on regularity criterion for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in terms of the gradient of the pressure*, Appl. Anal. 92 (2013), 96-103.
- [23] G. P. GALDI, *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Vols I, II. Springer, New York (1994).

- [24] S. Q. GAO, H. Y. DUAN, *Negative norm least-squares methods for the incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations*, Acta Math Sci, 28B (2008), 675-684.
- [25] C. HE, *Regularity for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with one velocity component regular*, Electron. J. Diferencial Equations 2002 (2002), 1-13.
- [26] X. W. HE, S. GALA, *Regularity criterion for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in terms of the pressure in the class $L^2(0, T; B_{\infty, \infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$* , Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 12 (2011), 3602-3607.
- [27] C. HE, Y. WANG, *On the regularity for weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations*, J. Differ. Equ. 238 (2007), 1-17.
- [28] C. HE, Y. WANG, *Remark on the regularity for weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 31 (2008), 1667-1684.
- [29] C. HE, Z. XIN, *On the regularity of solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations*, J. Differ. Equ. 213 (2005), 235-254.
- [30] X. J. JIA, Z. H. JIANG, *An anisotropic regularity criterion for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 12 (2013) 1299-1306.
- [31] X. J. JIA, Y. ZHOU, *Remarks on regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes equations via one velocity component*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 15 (2014) 239-245.
- [32] Q. S. JIU, D. J. NIU, *Mathematical results related to a two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic equations*, Acta Math Sci, 26B (2006), 744-756.
- [33] H.O. KREISS, J. LORENZ, *Initial-boundary value problems and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Reprint of the 1989 edition. Classics in Applied Mathematics, 47. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2004.
- [34] I. KUKAVICA, M. ZIANE, *Navier-Stokes equations with regularity in one direction*, J.Math. Phys. 48 (2007) 065203.
- [35] I. KUKAVICA, M. ZIANE, *One component regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity 19 (2006) 453-469.
- [36] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA, *On uniqueness and smoothness of generalized solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 5 (1967) 169-185.

- [37] Z. LEI, Y. ZHOU, *BKM criterion and global weak solutions for magnetohydrodynamics with zero viscosity*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. A 25 (2009), 575-583.
- [38] P. G. LEMARIÉ-RIEUSSET, *Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem*, Chapman and Hall, London, 2002.
- [39] J. LERAY, *Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*, Acta Math. 63 (1934) 193-248.
- [40] F. P. LI, B. Q. YUAN, *On some explicit blow-up solutions to 3D incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations*, Acta Math Sci, 29A(6) (2009), 1651-1656.
- [41] A. E. LIFSHITZ, *Magnetohydrodynamics and spectral theory*, In: *Developments in Electromagnetic Theory and Applications*, vol. 4. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1989).
- [42] J. NEUSTUPA, A. NOVOTNÝ, P. PENEL, *An interior regularity of a weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations in dependence on one component of velocity, topics in mathematical fluid mechanics*, Quad. Mat. 10 (2002) 163-183.
- [43] J. NEUSTUPA, P. PENEL, *Regularity of a suitable weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations as a consequence of regularity of one velocity component*, Applied Nonlinear Analysis. Kluwer/Plenum, New York (1999), 391-402.
- [44] L. NIRENBERG, *On elliptic partial differential equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 13 1959 115-162.
- [45] T. OHYAMA, *Interior regularity of weak solutions of the time-dependent Navier-Stokes equation*, Proc. Japan Acad. 36 (1960) 273-277.
- [46] E. E. ORTEGA-TORRES, M. A. ROJAS-MEDAR, *Magneto-micropolar fluid motion: Global existence of strong solutions*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 4 (1999) 109-125.
- [47] E. E. ORTEGA-TORRES, M. A. ROJAS-MEDAR, *On the uniqueness and regularity of the weak solution for magneto-micropolar fluid equations*, Rev. Mat. Apl. 17 (1996) 75-90.
- [48] P. PENEL, M. POKORNÝ, *Improvement of some anisotropic regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S 6 (2013) 1401-1407.
- [49] P. PENEL, M. POKORNÝ, *On anisotropic regularity criteria for the solutions to 3D Navier-Stokes equations*, J.Math. Fluid Mech. 13 (2011) 341-353.

- [50] P. PENEL, M. POKORNÝ, *Some new regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes equations containing gradient of the velocity*, Appl. Math. 49 (2004) 483-493.
- [51] M. POKORNÝ, *On the result of He concerning the smoothness of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2003 (2003) 1-8.
- [52] G. PRODI, *Un teorema di unicitá per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 48 (1959) 173-182.
- [53] M. A. ROJAS-MEDAR, *Magneto-micropolar fluid motion: existence and uniqueness of strong solutions*, Math. Nachr. 188 (1997) 301-319.
- [54] M. A. ROJAS-MEDAR, J. L. BOLDRINI, *Magneto-micropolar fluid motion: existence of weak solutions*, Rev. Mat. Complut. 11 (1998) 443-460.
- [55] M. SERMANGE, R. TEMAM, *Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), 635-664.
- [56] J. SERRIN, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, in: Nonlinear Problems, Proc. Symposium, Madison, Wisconsin, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wisconsin, 1963, pp.69-98.
- [57] Z. SKALÁK, *A note on the regularity of the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations via the gradient of one velocity component*, J.Math. Phys. 55 (2014) 121506.
- [58] Z. SKALÁK, *On the regularity of the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations via the gradient of one velocity component*, Nonlinear Anal. 104 (2014) 84-89.
- [59] S. TAKAHASHI, *On interior regularity criteria for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, Manuscr. Math. 69 (1990), 237-254.
- [60] R. TEMAM, *Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Numerical Analysis*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2001.
- [61] Y. WANG, *Regularity criterion for a weak solution to the three-dimensional magneto-micropolar fluid equations*, Boundary Value Problems, 58 (2013).
- [62] Y. WANG, L. HU, Y. WANG, *A Beale-Kato-Madja criterion for magneto-micropolar fluid equations with partial viscosity*, Bound. Value Probl. 2011, Article ID 128614.
- [63] F. WANG, K. Y. WANG, *Global existence of 3D MHD equations with mixed partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 14 (2013) 526-535.

- [64] Y. WANG, H. ZHAO, Y. WANG, *A logarithmally improved blow-up criterion of smooth solutions for the three-dimensional MHD equations*, Int. J. Math. 23 (2012), Article ID 1250027.
- [65] J. WU, *Viscous and inviscid magneto-hydrodynamics equations*, J. Anal. Math. (Jerus.) 73 (1997), 251-265.
- [66] J. YUAN, *Existence theorem and blow-up criterion of the strong solutions to the magneto-micropolar fluid equations*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 31 (2008) 1113-1130.
- [67] B. YUAN, *Regularity of weak solutions to magneto-micropolar fluid equations*, Acta Math. Sci. 30 (2010) 1469-1480.
- [68] Z. ZHANG, *An almost Serrin-type regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations involving the gradient of one velocity component*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 66 (2015) 1707-1715.
- [69] Z. J. ZHANG, *A remark on the regularity criterion for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations involving the gradient of one velocity component*, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 414 (2014) 472-479.
- [70] Z. J. ZHANG, *A Serrin-type regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations via one velocity component*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 12 (2013) 117-124.
- [71] Z. J. ZHANG, *Regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations involving one current density and the gradient of one velocity component*, Nonlinear Anal. 115 (2015) 41-49.
- [72] Z. F. ZHANG, Q. L. CHEN, *Regularity criterion via two components of vorticity on weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in R^3* , J.Differential Equations 216 (2005) 470-481.
- [73] Z. ZHANG, X. YANG, *A note on the regularity criterion for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations via the gradient of one velocity component*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 432 (2015), 603-611.
- [74] Z. ZHANG, X. YANG, *On the regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations involving the diagonal entry of the velocity gradient*, Nonlinear Anal. 122 (2015), 169-175.
- [75] Z. J. ZHANG, Z. A. YAO, P. LI, C. C. GUO, M. LU, *Two new regularity criteria for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations via two entries of the velocity gradient*, Acta Appl. Math. 123 (2013) 43-52.
- [76] Z. J. ZHANG, Z. A. YAO, M. LU, L. D. NI, *Some Serrin-type regularity criteria for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, J.Math. Phys. 52 (2011) 053103.

- [77] Z. ZHANG, A. YAO, X. WANG, *A regularity criterion for the 3D magneto-micropolar fluid equations in Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011) 2220-2225.
- [78] Z. J. ZHANG, D. X. ZHONG, L. HU, *A new regularity criterion for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations via two entries of the velocity gradient tensor*, Acta Appl. Math. 129 (2014) 175-181.
- [79] X. X. ZHENG, *A regularity criterion for the tridimensional Navier-Stokes equations in terms of one velocity component*, J.Differential Equations 256 (2014) 283-309.
- [80] Y. ZHOU, *A new regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations in terms of the gradient of one velocity component*, Methods Appl. Anal. 9 (2002) 563-578.
- [81] Y. ZHOU, *A new regularity criterion for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, J.Math. Pures Appl. 84 (2005) 1496-1514.
- [82] Y. ZHOU, *On regularity criteria in terms of pressure for the Navier-Stokes equations in R^3* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006) 149-156.
- [83] Y. ZHOU, *Regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations in terms of the pressure*, Internat J Non-Linear Mech, 41 (2006), 1174-1180.
- [84] Y. ZHOU, *Regularity criteria for the generalized viscous MHD equations*, Ann Inst H Poincaré Anal Non Linéaire, 24 (2007), 491-505.
- [85] Y. ZHOU, *Remarks on regularities for the 3D MHD equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 12 (2005), 881-886.
- [86] Y. ZHOU, S. GALA, *A new regularity criterion for weak solutions to the viscous MHD equations in terms of the vorticity field*, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), 3643-3648.
- [87] Y. ZHOU, S. GALA, *Regularity criteria for the solutions to the 3D MHD equations in the multiplier space*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 61 (2010), 193-199.
- [88] Y. ZHOU, M. POKORNÝ, *On a regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations involving gradient of one velocity component*, J.Math. Phys. 50 (2009) 123514.
- [89] Y. ZHOU, M. POKORNÝ, *On the regularity of the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations via one velocity component*, Nonlinearity 23 (2010) 1097-1107.